Country report

Estonian bathing water quality in 2016



Photo: © Peter Kristensen



May 2017



European Environment Agency

BWD Report For the Bathing Season 2016 Estonia

The report gives a general overview of information acquired from the reported data, based on provisions of the Bathing Water Directive¹. The reporting process is described below, as well as state and trends of bathing water quality in Estonia.

1. BWD reporting in the season 2016

In 2016 bathing season, 54 bathing waters have been reported in Estonia. For each bathing water, five groups of parameters have been delivered²:

- *identification data* including name, location, geographic type of bathing water and availability to bathers;
- seasonal data including season start and end, national quality classification in present season, potential management measures and changes in quality;
- *monitoring results* disaggregated numerical values of two microbiological parameters – intestinal enterococci and Escherichia coli (also known as E. coli), recorded at each water sample taken;
- abnormal situation periods periods of unexpected situations that have, or could reasonably be expected to have, an adverse impact on bathing water quality and on bathers' health; reporting is optional;

Bathing waters of Est	onia in 2016
Total reported	54
Coastal	27
Inland	27
Max season period	92 / 122 days
Coastal	1 Jun to 30 Sep
Inland	1 Jun to 31 Aug
Samples taken	265
Share of bathing waters	83 %
with good or excellent water quality	
Reporting under	2008
Directive 2006/7/EC since	

• *short-term pollution periods* – identifiable events that adversely affect water quality by faecal contamination; reporting is optional.

The authorities of Estonia report data according to the new BWD (2006/7/EC) since the season 2008. The data for the season 2016 were delivered to the European Commission by **21 December 2016**, with additional deliveries on 2 January 2017.

Altogether, **54 bathing waters** have been reported – 0.2% of all bathing waters in Europe. Out of all bathing waters in Estonia, 1.85% have been newly identified in 2016 season. 50% of bathing waters in

² See the BWD Data Dictionary for detailed explanations: <u>http://dd.eionet.europa.eu/datasets/latest/BWQ_2006</u>

¹ Directive BWD 2006/7/EC, available at <u>http://eur-</u>

lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2006:064:0037:0051:EN:PDF

Estonia are of coastal type; the other 50% are inland. **265 samples** were taken at bathing waters throughout the season – 5 per bathing water on average.

The bathing season on coastal waters of Estonia started on 1 June and ended on 30 September, with a maximum season span of 122 days³. The bathing season on coastal waters of Estonia started on 1 June and ended on 30 September, with a maximum season span of 92 days. Inland bathing season period was from 1 June to 31 August, with a maximum season span of 92 days.

Detailed information on bathing waters is available from national portal at <u>http://vtiav.sm.ee/index.php/?active_tab_id=SV</u>.

2. Assessment methodology⁴

During the bathing season, water samples are taken and analysed for two bacteria, *Escherichia coli* and intestinal enterococci which may indicate the presence of pollution, usually originating in sewage, livestock waste, bird faeces etc. The results of the analysis are used to assess the quality of the bathing waters concerned and to provide information to the public on the quality of water in the bathing sites concerned.

The monitoring requirements under the Directive are:

- taking a pre-season sample (taken shortly before the start of the bathing season) ⁵;
- a minimum of four samples per season⁶;
- a minimum of one sample per month⁷.

If these rules are satisfied, the bathing water is categorised as 'sampling frequency satisfied'. If not all monitoring requirements are fulfilled the bathing water is categorised as 'not enough samples'. 94.4% of bathing waters met the described monitoring requirements set by the Directive, while the rest did not satisfy monitoring requirements for different reasons: being new; having changed environmental conditions that might affect water quality classification; closed; not monitored due to legal issues, physical inaccessibility to the site etc.

³ If season length in a country varies depending on bathing water, the single longest season per bathing water is indicated, and not the overall count of season days in a country.

⁴ The methodology used by the EC and the EEA is described here, while results of assessment by national authorities may differ in individual cases.

⁵ A pre-season sample is taken into account at total number of samples per season.

⁶ Three samples are sufficient if the season does not exceed eight weeks or the region is subject to special geographical constraints.

⁷ If, for any reason, it is not possible to take the sample at the scheduled date, a delay of four extra days is allowed. Thus, the interval between two samples should not exceed 31 + 4 days.

Table 1 shows the statistics of bathing waters according to monitoring requirements.

Table 1: Bathing waters in 2016 according to compliance with BWD monitoring provisions

	Count	Share of total [%]	
BWs with sampling frequency satisfied (and are not new, are not subject to changes or were not closed in 2016) These bathing waters have been monitored according to provisions and	51	94.4%	
have complete dataset from the last assessment period. They have been quality-classified (excellent, good, sufficient, poor).			
BWs with sampling frequency not satisfied (and are not new, are not subject to changes or were not closed in 2016) These bathing waters exist throughout the last assessment period but have not been monitored throughout the period according to provisions for various individual reasons. They may be quality-classified if there is an adequate volume of samples available for credible classification.	2	3.7%	
BWs that are new, subject to changes or closed in 2016 These bathing waters do not have complete dataset for the last assessment period because they are new, have been subject to changes (that are likely to affect the classification of the bathing water) or have been closed. They cannot be quality-classified.	1	1.9%	
Total number of bathing waters in 2016	54	100%	

Bathing waters where sampling frequency was not satisfied can still be quality assessed if at least four samples per season (three samples if the season does not exceed eight weeks or the region is subject to special geographical constraints) are available and equally distributed throughout the season. Assessment of bathing water quality is possible when the bathing water sample dataset is available for four consecutive seasons. Bathing waters are accordingly classified to one of the bathing water quality classes (excellent, good, sufficient, or poor).

The classification is based on pre-defined percentile values for microbiological enumerations, limiting the classes given in Annex I of the Directive. The Directive defines different limit values for coastal and inland waters.

Quality assessment is not possible for all bathing waters. In these cases, they are instead classified as either:

- not enough samples⁸;
- new⁹;
- changes¹⁰;
- closed¹¹.

⁸ Not enough samples have been provided throughout the last assessment period (the last four bathing seasons or, when applicable, the period specified in Article 4.2 or 4.4).

⁹ Classification not yet possible because bathing water is newly identified and a complete set of samples is not yet available.

¹⁰ Classification is not yet possible after changes that are likely to affect the classification of the bathing water.

¹¹ Bathing water is closed temporarily or throughout the bathing season.

3. Bathing water quality

The results of the bathing water quality in Estonia throughout the past period are presented in Figure 1 (for coastal bathing waters) and Figure 2 (for inland bathing waters). The previous reports are available on the European Commission's bathing water quality website¹² and the European Environment Agency's bathing water website¹³.

3.1 Coastal bathing waters

In Estonia, 92.6% of all existing coastal bathing waters met at least sufficient water quality standards in 2016. See Appendix 1 for numeric data.





Figure 1: Coastal bathing water quality trend in Estonia. Note: the "At least sufficient" class also includes bathing waters of "Excellent" quality class, the sum of shares is therefore not 100%.

¹² http://ec.europa.eu/environment/water/water-bathing/index_en.html

¹³ http://www.eea.europa.eu/themes/water/status-and-monitoring/state-of-bathing-water

3.2 Inland bathing waters



96.3% of all existing inland bathing waters were of at least sufficient water quality in 2016. See Appendix 1 for numeric data.

4. Information regarding management and other issues

The requirements of Directive 2006/7/EC are promulgated in Estonian law in the Public Health Act, the Water Act, and regulation implementing them. Bathing waters have been under surveillance of the Health Board of Estonia.

Quality and control requirements for bathing water are laid down in the Decree of the Government No. 74 from 3rd April 2008 "Requirements to bathing waters and bathing sites". The regulation establishes requirements for bathing places, bathing water quality, monitoring, classification, quality management and reference methods, also establishes the provision of information to the public. Private or public bodies owning the bathing place are the subject of the regulation. In accordance to legislation, the Health Board is responsible for arranging bathing water quality, advising bathing place owners, informing public and establishing bathing water profiles.

Typical management measures implemented on bathing waters include: a monitoring calendar; bathing water quality monitoring; information about quality of bathing water made available on the website and at the bathing place; bathing water quality assessment during the season and after the season; bathing water profile made available online.

Figure 2: Inland bathing water quality trend in Estonia. Note: the "At least sufficient" class also includes bathing waters of "Excellent" quality class, the sum of shares is therefore not 100%.

5. Bathing water quality assessment presentation in online viewers

The European bathing water legislation focuses on sound management of bathing waters, greater public participation and improved information dissemination. More on the bathing and other water legislation can be found on the European Commission's website: http://ec.europa.eu/environment/water/index_en.htm.

The bathing water section of the Water Information System for Europe (WISE) which is accessible at the EEA bathing water website (<u>http://www.eea.europa.eu/themes/water/interactive/bathing/state-of-bathing-waters</u>) allows users to view the bathing water quality at more than 21 000 coastal and inland sites across Europe. The WISE bathing water quality data viewer combines text and graphical visualisation, providing a quick overview of the bathing water's locations and achieved quality. Having access to bathing water information, citizens are encouraged to make full use of it and participate with their comments.


Appendix 1: Results of bathing water quality in Estonia from 2013 to 2016

Table 2: Bathing waters in the season 2016 according to quality

		Total number of bathing waters	At least Excellent quality sufficient Poor quality		Poor q	Poor quality		Quality classification not possible: not enough samples /new bathing waters/bathing waters subject to changes/closed		
			No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%
stal	2013	26	11	42.3	23	88.5	2	7.7	1	3.8
	2014	27	10	37.0	22	81.5	2	7.4	3	11.1
Coastal	2015	27	11	40.7	25	92.6	0	0.0	2	7.4
	2016	27	14	51.9	25	92.6	0	0.0	2	7.4
pu	2013	27	23	85.2	25	92.6	1	3.7	1	3.7
	2014	27	23	85.2	25	92.6	1	3.7	1	3.7
Inland	2015	27	23	85.2	26	96.3	0	0.0	1	3.7
	2016	27	22	81.5	26	96.3	0	0.0	1	3.7
Total	2013	53	34	64.2	48	90.6	3	5.7	2	3.8
	2014	54	33	61.1	47	87.0	3	5.6	4	7.4
	2015	54	34	63.0	51	94.4	0	0.0	3	5.6
	2016	54	36	66.7	51	94.4	0	0.0	3	5.6

Note: the class "At least sufficient" also includes bathing waters which are of excellent quality, the sum of shares is therefore not 100%.

Appendix 2: Bathing water quality map



Map 1: Bathing waters reported during the 2016 bathing season in Estonia

Source: National boundaries: EEA; Large rivers and lakes: EEA, WFD Article 3; Bathing waters data and coordinates: Estonian authorities; Digital Elevation Model over Europe (EU-DEM): EEA.