Romanian bathing water quality in 2015





Bathing waters of Romania in 2015

50

49

1

107 days

450

78 %

2012

1 Jun to 15 Sep

Total reported

Max season period

Share of bathing waters

with good or excellent

Directive 2006/7/EC since

Samples taken

water quality

Reporting under

Coastal

Inland

BWD Report For the Bathing Season 2015 Romania

The report gives a general overview of information acquired from the reported data, based on provisions of the Bathing Water Directive¹. The reporting process is described below, as well as state and trends of bathing water quality in Romania.

1. BWD reporting in the season 2015

In 2015 bathing season, 50 bathing waters have been reported in Romania. For each bathing water, five groups of parameters have been delivered²:

- identification data including name, location, geographic type of bathing water and availability to bathers:
- seasonal data including season start and end, national quality classification in present season, potential management measures and changes in quality;
- *monitoring results* disaggregated numerical values of two microbiological parameters - intestinal enterococci and Escherichia coli (also known as E. coli), recorded at each water sample taken;
- abnormal situation periods periods of unexpected situations that have, or could reasonably be expected to have, an adverse impact on bathing

	water quality and on bathers' health; reporting is optional;
•	short-term pollution periods – identifiable events that adversely affect water quality by faecal
	contamination; reporting is optional.

The authorities of Romania report data according to the new BWD (2006/7/EC) since the season 2012. The data for the season 2015 were delivered to the European Commission by 21 December 2015, with additional deliveries on 19 January 2016 (corrected coordinates).

Altogether, **50 bathing waters** have been reported – 0.2% of all bathing waters in Europe. Out of all bathing waters in Romania, none have been newly identified in 2015 season. 98% of bathing waters in Romania are of coastal type; the other 2% are inland. 450 samples were taken at bathing waters throughout the season – 9 per bathing water on average.

lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=0J:L:2006:064:0037:0051:EN:PDF

² See the BWD Data Dictionary for detailed explanations: http://dd.eionet.europa.eu/datasets/3294#tables

The maximum bathing season period was from 1 June to 15 September, i.e. 107 days altogether.

Detailed information on bathing waters is available from national portal at http://www.ms.gov.ro/?pag=182.

2. Assessment methodology³

During the bathing season, water samples are taken and analysed for two bacteria, *Escherichia coli* and intestinal enterococci which may indicate the presence of pollution, usually originating in sewage, livestock waste, bird faeces etc. The results of the analysis are used to assess the quality of the bathing waters concerned and to provide information to the public on the quality of water in the bathing sites concerned.

The monitoring requirements under the Directive are:

- taking a pre-season sample (taken shortly before the start of the bathing season) 4;
- a minimum of four samples per season⁵;
- a minimum of one sample per month⁶.

If these rules are satisfied, the bathing water is categorised as 'sampling frequency satisfied'. If not all monitoring requirements are fulfilled the bathing water is categorised as 'not enough samples'. 100.0% of bathing waters met the described monitoring requirements set by the Directive, while the rest did not satisfy monitoring requirements for different reasons: being new; having changed environmental conditions that might affect water quality classification; closed; not monitored due to legal issues, physical inaccessibility to the site etc. Table 1 shows the statistics of bathing waters according to monitoring requirements.

³ The methodology used by the EC and the EEA is described here, while results of assessment by national authorities may differ in individual cases.

⁴ A pre-season sample is taken into a sum of samples per season.

⁵ Three samples are sufficient if the season does not exceed eight weeks or the region is subject to special geographical constraints.

⁶ If, for any reason, it is not possible to take the sample at the scheduled date, a delay of four extra days is allowed. Thus, the interval between two samples should not exceed 31 + 4 days.

Table 1: Bathing waters in 2015 according to compliance with BWD monitoring provisions

	Count	Share of total [%]
BWs with sampling frequency satisfied (and are not new, are not subject		
to changes or were not closed in 2015)	50	100.0%
These bathing waters have been monitored according to provisions and		
have complete dataset from the last assessment period. They have been		
quality-classified (excellent, good, sufficient, poor).		
BWs with sampling frequency not satisfied (and are not new, are not		0.0%
subject to changes or were not closed in 2015)		
These bathing waters exist throughout the last assessment period but have	0	
not been monitored throughout the period according to provisions for	o	
various individual reasons. They may be quality-classified if there is an		
adequate volume of samples available for credible classification.		
BWs that are new, subject to changes or closed in 2015		
These bathing waters do not have complete dataset for the last assessment		0.0%
period because they are new, have been subject to changes (that are likely	0	
to affect the classification of the bathing water) or have been closed. They		
cannot be quality-classified.		
Total number of bathing waters in 2015	50	100%

Bathing waters where sampling frequency was not satisfied can still be quality assessed if at least four samples per season (three samples if the season does not exceed eight weeks or the region is subject to special geographical constraints) are available and equally distributed throughout the season. Assessment of bathing water quality is possible when the bathing water sample dataset is available for four consecutive seasons. Bathing waters are accordingly classified to one of the bathing water quality classes (excellent, good, sufficient, or poor).

The classification is based on pre-defined percentile values for microbiological enumerations, limiting the classes given in Annex I of the Directive. The Directive defines different limit values for coastal and inland waters.

Quality assessment is not possible for all bathing waters. In these cases, they are instead classified as either:

- not enough samples⁷;
- new8:
- changes9;
- closed¹⁰.

⁷ Not enough samples have been provided throughout the last assessment period (the last four bathing seasons or, when applicable, the period specified in Article 4.2 or 4.4).

⁸ Classification not yet possible because bathing water is newly identified and a complete set of samples is not yet available.

⁹ Classification is not yet possible after changes that are likely to affect the classification of the bathing water.

¹⁰ Bathing water is closed temporarily or throughout the bathing season.

3. Bathing water quality

The results of the bathing water quality in Romania throughout the past period are presented in Figure 1. The previous reports are available on the European Commission's bathing water quality website¹¹ and the European Environment Agency's bathing water website¹².

3.1 Coastal bathing waters

In Romania, 98.0% of all existing coastal bathing waters met at least sufficient water quality standards in 2015. See Appendix 1 for numeric data.




Figure 1: Coastal bathing water quality trend in Romania. Note: the "At least sufficient" class also includes bathing waters of "Excellent" quality class, the sum of shares is therefore not 100%.

3.2 Inland bathing waters

One bathing water is reported in Romania: Tulcea Lac Ciuperca, first identified in 2013 season. Its quality is classified "excellent".

¹¹ http://ec.europa.eu/environment/water/water-bathing/index_en.html

¹² http://www.eea.europa.eu/themes/water/status-and-monitoring/state-of-bathing-water

4. Information regarding management and other issues

List with bathing waters identified for the bathing season 2015 and monitoring calendar was posted on the websites (Ministry of Health http://www.ms.ro/?pag=182).

A public meeting on the monitoring of bathing water quality was announced in the local press for the other stakeholders (press, interested public). The meeting was organized and hosted by Constanta Public Health Directory on 15/05/2015. This meeting was also attended by the other responsible local authority and local EPA - Bathing water department, as well as by NGOs and the R&D marine National Institute.

The bathing water profiles established since 2011 have been updated in 2015 and are available in Romanian language at the link: http://www.ms.gov.ro/?pag=182.

A template for identifying and assessing causes of pollution that might affect bathing waters and impair bathers' health has been applied before beginning of the season. The analytical methods used for monitoring are those required and mentioned by the Directive and the Romanian legislation. Monitoring results will be posted every 2 weeks on the Constanta Public Health Directory site: http://www.dspct.ro/s48/local-seasonal-results/.

5. Bathing water quality assessment presentation in online viewers

The European bathing water legislation focuses on sound management of bathing waters, greater public participation and improved information dissemination. More on the bathing and other water legislation can be found on the European Commission's website: http://ec.europa.eu/environment/water/index en.htm.

The bathing water section of the Water Information System for Europe (WISE) which is accessible at the EEA bathing water website (http://www.eea.europa.eu/themes/water/interactive/bathing/state-of-bathing-waters) allows users to view the bathing water quality at more than 21 000 coastal beaches and inland sites across Europe. The WISE bathing water quality data viewer combines text and graphical visualisation, providing a quick overview of the bathing water's locations and achieved quality. Having access to bathing water information, citizens are encouraged to make full use of it and participate with their comments.

Appendix 1: Results of bathing water quality in Romania from 2012 to 2015


Table 2: Bathing waters in the season 2015 according to quality

		Total number of bathing waters	Excellent quality		At least sufficient quality		Poor quality		Quality classification not possible: not enough samples /new bathing waters/bathing waters subject to changes/closed	
			No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%
	2012	49	4	8.2	49	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Coastal	2013	49	17	34.7	49	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Coa	2014	49	11	22.4	49	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2015	49	15	30.6	48	98.0	1	2.0	0	0.0
	2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inland	2013	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Inla	2014	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2015	1	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2012	49	4	8.2	49	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
[a]	2013	50	17	34.0	50	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	2014	50	11	22.0	50	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2015	50	16	32.0	49	98.0	1	2.0	0	0.0

Note: the class "At least sufficient" also includes bathing waters which are of excellent quality, the sum of shares is therefore not 100%.

Appendix 2: Bathing water quality map

Map 1: Bathing waters reported during the 2015 bathing season in Romania



Source: National boundaries: EEA; Large rivers and lakes: EEA, WFD Article 3; Rivers in Western Balkan: TC Vode; Bathing waters data and coordinates: Romanian authorities; Digital Elevation Model over Europe (EU-DEM): EEA.