**Country fact sheet** 

Land cover 2012





September 2017

Photo: © Toni García, My City/EEA



European Environment Agency

## Land cover 2012

#### Overview of land cover & change 2006-2012

The development of the Serbian landscape seems to remain stable compared to previous period 2000-2006. The mean annual land cover change rate - 0.08% - is quite low, in comparison with other European countries - this illustrates low intensity of land cover development in the country. In the period 1990-2000, the pace of land cover change was a bit higher, with 0.11% annual change rate - it means that there even occurred a slight decrease of land cover development over time.

The internal structure of changes in Serbia shows very similar pattern as in previous periods. The landscape development is driven mainly by forest creation and management and also by internal conversions of agricultural land. The urban sprawl, mostly of economic sites and infrastructures, represents the third most powerful driver of change in the Serbian landscape. All these three most intensive land cover flows in the country have only slightly higher intensity, compared to the period 2000-2006. The overall mean annual artificial land take rate (0.25%) is comparable with both previous periods observed; this value is safely below the European average.

Similarly to the previous period, patches with land cover change are distributed more densely over the northern lowland region of Vojvodina, with the highest concentration around the capital city of Belgrade.

Note: The results presented here are based on a change analysis of 44 land cover types mapped consistently on a 1:100.000 scale across Europe over more than decade between 2000-2006-2012 - see Corine land cover (CLC) programme for

Number of years between CLC2006-CLC2012 data for Serbia: 6



**CORINE Land Cover types - 2012** 







1.2. Net change in land

1.3. Net change in land cover [% of initial year 2006]



Artificial areas

Semi-natural vegetation

Arable land & permanent crops Pastures & mosaics ■ Open spaces/bare soils Wetlands



Artificial areas [hundreds ha] <sup>c</sup>orested land Open spaces/ Semi-natural later bodies vegetation õ soils **Netlands Pastures** mosaics -OTAL bare s Land cover 2006 2836 22315 21262 28806 2114 211 264 1020 78829 Consumption of initial LC 15.8 70.5 53.7 219.0 5.1 4.6 4.8 376 2.4 Formation of new LC 53.5 27.3 230.3 0.5 8.5 0.6 11.2 376 44.1 Net Formation of LC 28.3 -17.0 -26.5 11.3 -4.6 6.1 -4.1 6.4 0 Net formation as % of initial year 1.0 -0.1 -0.1 0.0 -0.2 2.9 -1.5 0.6 Total turnover of LC 124.0 81.0 449.3 5.7 10.9 5.2 16.0 752 59.8 0.4 2.0 Total turnover as % of initial vear 2.1 0.6 0.3 5.2 1.6 1.6 1.0 Land cover 2012 2864 22298 21236 28818 2109 217 260 1027 78829

Summary balance table 2006-2012



## Land cover trends comparison 2000-2006 vs. 2006-2012

	3909	0200
Annual land cover change as % of initial year	0.07%	0.08%
Land uptake by artificial development as mean annual change [ha/year]	731	685
Agricultural land uptake by urban and infrastructures development as mean annual change [ha/year]	717	591
Net uptake of forests and semi-natural land by agriculture as mean annual change [ha/year]	222	-172
Net conversion from pasture to arable land and permanent crops as mean annual change [ha/year]	536	-121
Forest & other woodland net formation as mean annual change [ha/year]	85	188
Dry semi-natural land cover net formation as mean annual change [ha/year]	-484	24
Wetlands & water bodies net formation as mean annual change [ha/year]	278	39



2.7. Intensity of main change drivers (LC FLOWS) [ha/year]

## Artificial surfaces sprawl (2006-2012)



#### **Development of mining resorts**

Compared to other European countries, the pace of artificial development in Serbia is quite low and it remains stable, in comparison with previous periods 1990-2000 and 2000-2006. The sprawl is driven by extension of mines, quarries and dump sites, as well as industrial and commercial units. The diffuse residential sprawl, which was very intensive during both previous periods, became rather weak in the period 2006-2012. This extension of urban fabric is located almost exclusively in the surroundings of the capital city of Belgrade in this period. The artificial development around other cities is represented by sprawl of economic sites and infrastructures. Especially the sprawl of mining sites is significant, concentrated in the Drmno/Kostolac and Lazarevac (coal) mining resorts. On the other hand, it has to be mentioned, that this extension is compensated by abandonment of mining patches and their overgrowth by natural vegetation in the same resorts. The sprawl of commercial/industrial sites and construction occurs with higher intensity compared to both previous periods.



## Agriculture (2006-2012)



### Turnover of internal agricultural development

Agricultural internal conversions are the second most powerful driver of change in the Serbian landscape – this situation is stable in the long term, over the observed three periods. However, there are variations in the prevailing direction of these conversions. In the last period 2006-2012, the extension (especially the diffuse one) of pasture, set aside and fallow land predominates over the opposite conversion of pasture to arable. This is the same trend as in the period 1990-2000, however, inverse as in the period 2000-2006. The other strong drivers of internal agricultural development are the conversions between vineyards/orchards and arable land with still prevailing formation of arable. However, the intensity of consumption of arable land by vineyards/orchards increased strongly, compared to previous period. External exchange of agricultural land is represented mainly by consumption by the sprawl of economic sites and infrastructures and also by the withdrawal of farming with woodland creation. On the other hand, the intensive conversion from semi-natural land to agriculture, which was significant during the previous period, lost most of its intensity and became rather low in the last period.



## Forest & nature (2006-2012)



### Forest and nature land development driven by internal flows

In the long term, forest creation and management is the most intensive land cover flow in the Serbian landscape. However, similarly to many other European countries, it is represented mostly by internal conversions between forest and transitional woodland (with prevailing share of recent felling and transition in both 2000-2006 and 2006-2012 periods). There also occurs significant amount of forest and shrub fires and water bodies' creation in the natural land cover exchange in the country. From external flows, withdrawal of farming with woodland creation and afforestation (mostly of former mining land) contributes significantly to creation of new forested land.



#### 5.16. Development of forest & nature areas 2006-2012 - detailed balance [ha]

## Annex: Land cover flows and trends

## Land cover flows 2006-2012



Semi-natural vegetation

□ Open spaces/bare soils Wetlands

# 6.20. Drivers of change (LC FLOWS) 2006-2012 [% of total change area]



- Icf1 Urban land management
- Icf2 Urban residential sprawl
- Icf3 Sprawl of economic sites and infrastructures
- Icf4 Agriculture internal conversions
- Icf5 Conversion from forested & natural land to agriculture
- □ lcf6 Withdrawal of farming
- Icf7 Forests creation and management
- Icf8 Water bodies creation and management
- Icf9 Changes due to natural and multiple causes

## Artificial areas



# 7.24. Artificial development by change drivers (LC FLOWS) [ha/year]

7.22. Formation by artificial land take

2006-2012 [% of total]

Green

urban

0.1%

Mineral /

extraction 49%

Construct 17%

Dump

sites

2%

Sport/

leisure

.1%

Disc.

urban

fabric 10%

Industrial/

commerc. 19%

> Road/rail network 1%



#### 7.23. Net formation of artificial area [ha/year, % of initial year]



## Agriculture

8.25. LC consumed by agriculture 2006-2012 [% of total]

8.26. Formation of agricultural land from non-agriculture 2006-2012 [% of total]







#### 8.29. Main annual conversions between agriculture and forests & semi-natural land 2006-2012 [ha/year]









8.30. Mean annual conversion between arable land and pasture [ha/year]





9.31. Mean annual agriculture internal conversions [ha/year]

# 9.32. Mean annual conversions between agriculture and other LC types [ha/year]

## Forest & nature





10.36. Formation of non-forest/nature land from forest & nature 2006-2012 [% of total]







10.37. Forested land 2012 [% of total area]

50%









- Icf13 Development of green urban areas
- lcf2 Urban residential sprawl

Icf3 Sprawl of economic sites and infrastructures

Icf511 Intensive conversion from forest to agriculture

lcf512 Diffuse conversion from forest to agriculture

lcf61 Withdrawal of farming with woodland creation

lcf71 Conversion from transitional woodland to forest (cons.)

lcf71 Conversion from transitional woodland to forest (form.)

Icf72 Forest creation, afforestation

lcf73 Forests internal conversions (cons.)

Icf73 Forests internal conversions (form.)

lcf74 Recent felling and transition (cons.)

lcf74 Recent felling and transition (form.)

Icf8 Water bodies creation and management

- lcf9 Changes of land cover due to natural and multiple causes (cons.)
- lcf9 Changes of land cover due to natural and multiple causes (form.)



#### 12.44. Mean annual conversions of dry semi-natural LC [ha/year]













