

EMEP Emission Reporting Programme: Status report for														
LATVIA														
General information	Date of submission:		30 December 2005; contact info: Kristine Zommere, Latvian Environment Agency, Jurmala											
	Reporting obligation:		CLRTAP: <input type="checkbox"/>								NEC Directive: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
	Format:		Electronic: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								Hardcopy: <input type="checkbox"/>			
	NFR provided for years:		1990 - 2004											
	Gases covered:		Main pollutants											
			SO ₂	NO _x	NH ₃	NMVOCS	CO							
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>								
		Particulate matter			Heavy metals			Additional heavy metals			POPs			
		<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>			
		Comments:												
National Inventory Report	Description:		No NIR has been provided.											
	Language:													
PART I: Yearly minimum and additional reporting														
A. Sector emissions	Table 1A	1. Main pollutants												
		SO ₂	NO _x	NH ₃	NMVOCS	CO								
		90 - 04	90 - 04	90 - 04	90 - 04	90 - 04								
		2. Particulate matter												
		PM _{2.5}	PM ₁₀	TSP										
		3. Heavy metals												
		Pb	Cd	Hg	As	Cr	Cu	Ni	Se	Zn				
	Table 1B	4. POPs												
		Aldrin	Chlordane	Chlordecone	DDT	Dieldrin	Endrin	Heptachlor	HCB	Mirex	Toxaphene	HCH	Hexabromobiphenyl	
PCBs		PCDD/F	PAHs	Additional information:			SCCP	PCP						
		Comments:												
B. National totals	Table 1A	1. Main pollutants												
		SO ₂	NO _x	NH ₃	NMVOCS	CO								
		90 - 04	90 - 04	90 - 04	90 - 04	90 - 04								
		2. Particulate matter												
		PM _{2.5}	PM ₁₀	TSP										
		3. Heavy metals												
		Pb	Cd	Hg	As	Cr	Cu	Ni	Se	Zn				
	Table 1B	4. POPs												
		Aldrin	Chlordane	Chlordecone	DDT	Dieldrin	Endrin	Heptachlor	HCB	Mirex	Toxaphene	HCH	Hexabromobiphenyl	
PCBs		PCDD/F	PAHs	Additional information:			SCCP	PCP						
		Comments:												
PART II: 5-yearly minimum reporting														
C. Gridded data in the EMEP 50x50 km ² grid		National totals				Sector emissions								
		Table 3A <input type="checkbox"/>				Table 3B <input type="checkbox"/>								
D. Emissions from large point sources		Table 3C <input type="checkbox"/>												
E. Historical and Projected activity data and projected national total emissions	National total emissions				Energy consumption									
	Table 2A <input type="checkbox"/>				Table 2B <input type="checkbox"/>									
					Table 2C <input type="checkbox"/>									
	Energy consumption for transport				Agricultural activity									
			Table 2D <input type="checkbox"/>				Table 2E <input type="checkbox"/>							
		Comments: Table 1A provided for projection 2010												
PART III: 5-yearly additional reporting/for review and assessment purposes														
VOC speciation / Height distribution / Temporal distribution <input type="checkbox"/>														
% of toxic congeners of PCDD/F emissions <input type="checkbox"/>														
Pre-1990 emissions of PAHs, HCB, PCDD/F and PCB <input type="checkbox"/>														
Information on natural emissions <input type="checkbox"/>														

EMEP Emission Reporting Programme: Status report for
LATVIA

Part IV:
Provision of NFR tables for years reported

	Years																											Information gaps related to reporting*	Comments
	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	00	01	02	03	04	2010	2015		
Table 1A											✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				
Table 1B																													
Table 2A																													
Table 2B																													
Table 2C																													
Table 2D																													
Table 2E																													
Table 3A																													
Table 3B																													
Table 3C																													

* This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the NFR. In most cases this was due to lack of use of indicators (NO, NE, NA, IE, C, 0).