

Note. Response to the Status Report and the Consistency check made by the UNFCCC Secretariat on the Danish CRF inventories 1990-2002 submitted in April, 2004.

Status report

In part I of the Status report on Notation Keys we suggest a tick mark be put in the Summary tables 1.A & 1.B cell and in the comment box to add "In the summary tables some cells are left blank where Notation Keys should have been used".

In part III of the Status Report the column for "information gaps related to reporting" is not quite understandable in the way it is filled in for our submission. Why are no tick marks filled in for Table 1.A(c) and 1.B.1. indicating no information gaps in the way it is defined in the table? E.g. we see no notation keys missing in the tables mentioned.

Consistency report

The types of inconsistencies found in the Consistency check are (1) duplication of data, i.e. data reported in different cells which should be the same and (2) inconsistent reporting and aggregation of F-gases. The inconsistencies are found in the Industry Sector and the Agriculture Sector. We provide in this note a table with a list of sheets and specifications on cells corrected.

Industry, F-gases. Corrections 1-2 in the table enclosed. The UNFCCC Secretariat has developed a new check on potential F-gas emissions. This check shows inconsistencies in the potential emissions of F-gases reported. Our model for F-gases is comprehensive due to the fact that the survey on F-gases is made on mixtures - trade names - of the F-gases to be reported in the CRF. Due to the findings in the Consistency report the model was looked through and errors were found leading to the inconsistencies. The errors were mainly double counting of potential emission of HFC-134a. These errors have no influence on the actual emissions of F-gases. *Correction 3.* Further, we found that Sectoral background tables for 1999 and 2001 were wrong since some HFC gases for which there were data were not introduced to the table with the manual adding of lines. Since the data were filled in the cells in another process with a Excel Macro, this error occurred. No emissions are affected by the corrections.

Agriculture. Correction 4 in the table enclosed. The livestock data in Table 4B(b) was for 1990-1998 wrong. The reason is problems in the process where we use software, transforming data from databases to the CRF. The livestock data in Tables 4.A and 4.B(a) is the correct data, but please note that we in Table 4B.(b) - as stated in the documentation box - include livestock data on goats in the sheep category. So no correction is made in the sheep category in Table 4.B(b). The reason for combining sheep and goat category in this table is a consequence of the way goats is included in the calculation of Nitrogen excretion in animal waste management systems. The changes made for correction affect nothing else than the cells on livestock data.

Table. Corrections¹ of CRF-tables May 19, 2004

Correction No.	Table	Sheet	Year	Row	Column	Explanation ²
1	TABLE 2(I) SECTORAL REPORT FOR INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES	Table2(I)s2	1994-2002 1996	F. 1. Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment F. 7. Electrical Equipment	HFCs, P SF6, P	
2	TABLE 2(II) SECTORAL REPORT FOR INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES - EMISSIONS OF HFCs, PFCs AND SF ₆	Table2(II)s2	1993-2002 1993-2002 1996 2000	Import: In Bulk Export: in products Import: In Bulk Import: In Bulk	HFC-134a HFC-134a SF6 HFC-125	
3	TABLE 2(II).F SECTORAL BACKGROUND DATA FOR INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES	Table2(II).Fs1	1999 2001	rows included rows included	all all	For "2 Foam Blowing, Hard Foam" Rows included for HFC-32 (refrigerators) and HFC-32 (shoes, other) HFC-125 (refrigerators) and HFC-125 (shoes, other) HFC-134a (refrigerators) and HFC-134a (shoes, other) For "1 Refrigeration, Mobile Air-Condition": Rows for HFC-125 and HFC143a included
4	TABLE 4.B(b) SECTORAL BACKGROUND DATA FOR AGRICULTURE		1996 1996 1990-1998 1990-1998	Non-Dairy Cattle Dairy Cattle Swine Poultry	Population size Population size Population size Population size	

¹ Corrections are made as response to consistency check by the UNFCCC Secretariat. The corrections made do not imply any changes in emissions nor in IEF-factors as compared to the April 2004-submission.

² Further explanations in the text