
Category		Title
NFR:	2.A.5.c	Storage, handling and transport of mineral products
SNAP:	040900	Storage, handling and transport of mineral products
ISIC:	6010	Transport via railways
	6023	Freight transport by road
	6110	Sea and coastal water transport
	6120	Inland water transport
	6210	Scheduled air transport
	6220	Non-scheduled air transport
	6301	Cargo handling
	6302	Storage and warehousing
	6303	Other supporting transport activities
Version	Guidebook 2016	

Coordinator
Jeroen Kuenen

Contents

1	Overview	3
2	Description of sources.....	3
2.1	Process description	3
2.2	Techniques.....	4
2.3	Emissions and controls.....	4
3	Methodological issues	4
3.1	Choice of method	4
3.2	Tier 1 default approach.....	4
3.3	Tier 2 technology-specific approach.....	5
3.4	Tier 3 emission modelling and use of facility data	7
4	Data quality	7
4.1	Completeness.....	7
4.2	Avoiding double counting with other sectors	7
4.3	Verification.....	8
4.4	Developing a consistent time series and recalculation	8
4.5	Uncertainty assessment	8
4.6	Inventory quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC).....	8
4.7	Gridding.....	8
4.8	Reporting and documentation	8
5	Glossary.....	8
6	References.....	9
7	Point of enquiry	9

1 Overview

The present chapter discusses emissions from storage, handling and transport of mineral products. These emissions can occur before, during and after the activities described in the mineral industry (NFR sector 2.A).

This Guidebook provides emission factors for storage, handling and transport in Tier 1. At this level, it is assumed that these emissions are accounted for in the relevant mineral chapter. For example, emissions from storage, handling and transport of cement during the cement production are covered by the Tier 1 emission factors for cement production.


At Tier 2 level the present chapter provides default emission factors for particulate emissions from storage, handling and transport of mineral products.

2 Description of sources

2.1 Process description

The present subsection gives a short overview of storage, handling and transport in the mineral industry. This can occur either before, during or after a process in the mineral industry. A simple process scheme is given in Figure 2.1.

Figure 2.1 Simplified process scheme for source category 2.A.5.c Storage, handling and transport of mineral products



2.2 Techniques

This chapter does not yet provide information on the techniques or technologies distinguished in the storage, handling and transport of mineral products.

2.3 Emissions and controls

The most relevant emissions occurring during storage, handling and transport of mineral products are particulate emissions.

3 Methodological issues

3.1 Choice of method

In the Tier 1 default approach, the emissions from storage, handling and transport of mineral products are covered by the technical chapters describing the activities. For instance, emissions from storage, handling and transport of cement are accounted for by the Tier 1 default emission factors in chapter 2.A.1 Cement Production.

If in the relevant process chapters (such as 2.A.1 Cement Production) a Tier 1 or 2 methodology is applied, the storage, handling and transport is already included in the applied emission factors. Therefore, it is good practice not to report emissions from storage, handling and transport separately. In this case, it is good practice to use a Tier 1 approach for this source category.

In the Tier 2 methodology, general emission factors are provided for emissions from storage, handling and transport of mineral products. It is good practice to check the tier methods applied in other chapters within the mineral industry (sector 2.A), to avoid double counting of emissions from storage, handling and transport.

3.2 Tier 1 default approach

3.2.1 Algorithm

The Tier 1 approach uses the general equation:

$$E_{pollutant} = AR_{production} \times EF_{pollutant} \quad (1)$$

Where:

$E_{pollutant}$ = the emission of the specified pollutant

$AR_{production}$ = the activity rate for the storage and handling of mineral products

$EF_{pollutant}$ = the emission factor for this pollutant

The Tier 1 emission factors assume an averaged or typical technology and abatement implementation in the country and integrate all sub-processes. In this particular case, the Tier 1 estimate is such that particulate emissions from storage, handling and transport are included in the 'technical chapters' of the mineral industry (e.g. cement industry, lime industry).

3.2.2 Default emission factors

In the Tier 1 default approach, the dust emissions from storage, handling and transport of mineral products are included in the Tier 1 approaches in the respective technical chapters. Consequently, all relevant emissions are reported as 'not estimated' in the table below.

Table 3.1 Tier 1 emission factors for source category 2.A.5.c Storage, handling and transport of mineral products

Tier 1 default emission factors		
	Code	Name
NFR Source Category	2.A.5.c	Storage, handling and transport of mineral products
Fuel	NA	
Not applicable	NO _x , CO, NMVOC, SO _x , NH ₃ , Pb, Cd, Hg, As, Cr, Cu, Ni, Se, Zn, HCH, PCBs, PCDD/F, Benzo(a)pyrene, Benzo(b)fluoranthene, Benzo(k)fluoranthene, Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, HCB	
Not estimated	TSP, PM ₁₀ , PM _{2.5}	

3.2.3 Activity data

Activity data are not needed for Tier 1, since no emission factors are presented in the Tier 1 approach for this source category.

3.3 Tier 2 technology-specific approach

3.3.1 Algorithm

In a Tier 2 approach, the emissions from storage, handling and transport of mineral products need to be estimated separately. For this activity only one 'technology' (the 'Tier 2 default') is available. Therefore, the equation describing the approach is the same as for Tier 1:

$$E_{\text{pollutant}} = AR_{\text{production}} \times EF_{\text{pollutant}} \quad (2)$$

Where:

$E_{\text{pollutant}}$ = the emission of the specified pollutant

$AR_{\text{production}}$ = the activity rate for the storage, handling and transport

$EF_{\text{pollutant}}$ = the emission factor for this pollutant

The emission factors assume an averaged or typical technology and abatement implementation in the country and integrate all sub-processes.

3.3.2 Technology-specific emission factors

Table 3.2 -

Table 3.4 present emission factors for storage and handling of mineral products separately based on measurements and methodology developed by the Netherlands (Peutz, 2006). The EFs are developed for a specific harbour area in Rotterdam, The Netherlands. Therefore, the EFs can be considered as Tier 3 EFs for The Netherlands, however, applying the Dutch EFs for other locations can only be considered as Tier 2.

2.A.5.c Storage, handling and transport of mineral products

Table 3.2 Tier 2 emission factors for source category 2.A.5.c Storage, handling and transport of mineral products, uncontrolled storage.

Tier 2 default emission factors					
	Code	Name			
NFR source category	2.A.5.c	Storage, handling and transport of mineral products			
Fuel	NA				
SNAP (if applicable)	040900 Storage, handling and transport of mineral products				
Technologies/Practices	Storage				
Region or regional conditions					
Abatement technologies	Uncontrolled				
Not applicable	NO _x , CO, NMVOC, SO _x , NH ₃ , BC, Pb, Cd, Hg, As, Cr, Cu, Ni, Se, Zn, HCH, PCBs, PCDD/F, Benzo(a)pyrene, Benzo(a)fluoranthene, Benzo(k)fluoranthene, Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, HCB				
Not estimated					
Pollutant	Value	Unit	95 % confidence interval		Reference
			Lower	Upper	
TSP	16.4	ton/ha/year	8.2	32.8	Visschedijk et al. (2004) applied on PM ₁₀
PM ₁₀	8.2	ton/ha/year	4.1	16.4	Peutz (2006)/US EPA (2006)
PM _{2.5}	0.82	ton/ha/year	0.41	1.64	Visschedijk et al. (2004) applied on PM ₁₀

Table 3.3 Tier 2 emission factors for source category 2.A.5.c Storage, handling and transport of mineral products, controlled storage.

Tier 2 default emission factors					
	Code	Name			
NFR source category	2.A.5.c	Storage, handling and transport of mineral products			
Fuel	NA				
SNAP (if applicable)	040900 Storage, handling and transport of mineral products				
Technologies/Practices	Storage				
Region or regional conditions					
Abatement technologies	Controlled				
Not applicable	NO _x , CO, NMVOC, SO _x , NH ₃ , BC, Pb, Cd, Hg, As, Cr, Cu, Ni, Se, Zn, HCH, PCBs, PCDD/F, Benzo(a)pyrene, Benzo(a)fluoranthene, Benzo(k)fluoranthene, Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, HCB				
Not estimated					
Pollutant	Value	Unit	95 % confidence interval		Reference
			Lower	Upper	
TSP	1.64	ton/ha/year	0.62	3.28	Visschedijk et al. (2004) applied on PM ₁₀
PM ₁₀	0.82	ton/ha/year	0.41	1.64	Peutz (2006)/US EPA (2006)
PM _{2.5}	0.082	ton/ha/year	0.041	0.164	Visschedijk et al. (2004) applied on PM ₁₀

Table 3.4 Tier 2 emission factors for source category 2.A.5.c Storage, handling and transport of mineral products, uncontrolled handling.

Tier 2 default emission factors					
	Code		Name		
NFR source category	2.A.5.c		Storage, handling and transport of mineral products		
Fuel	NA				
SNAP (if applicable)	040900 Storage, handling and transport of mineral products				
Technologies/Practices	Handling				
Region or regional conditions					
Abatement technologies	Uncontrolled				
Not applicable	NO _x , CO, NMVOC, SO _x , NH ₃ , BC, Pb, Cd, Hg, As, Cr, Cu, Ni, Se, Zn, HCH, PCBs, PCDD/F, Benzo(a)pyrene, Benzo(a)fluoranthene, Benzo(k)fluoranthene, Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, HCB				
Not estimated					
Pollutant	Value	Unit	95 % confidence interval		Reference
			Lower	Upper	
TSP	12	g/ton	6	24	Visschedijk et al. (2004) applied on PM ₁₀
PM ₁₀	6	g/ton	3	12	Peutz (2006)/Vrins (1999)
PM _{2.5}	0.6	g/ton	0.3	1.2	Visschedijk et al. (2004) applied on PM ₁₀

3.3.3 Activity data

The relevant activity data is the total amount of mineral products stored, handled and transported.

3.4 Tier 3 emission modelling and use of facility data

The Tier 3 modelling of emissions storage, handling and transport of mineral products may include the following processes:

- storage of specific mineral products
- handling of specific mineral products
- transport of specific mineral products

No EFs are available for the specific processes combined with specific mineral products. The emission modelling therefore has to be based on local/national measurements combined with detailed statistical information.

4 Data quality

4.1 Completeness

Since the Tier 1 approach for this source is actually assuming it to be included in the process emissions in the mineral industry, it is good practice to check whether this is indeed the case. If in these industries methods are used that do not include the emissions in the storage, handling and transport source category, it is good practice to apply the Tier 2 method as described in subsection 3.3 above.

4.2 Avoiding double counting with other sectors

Double counting might occur. If a Tier 2 method is applied for this source category, it is good practice to verify that the methods applied in the processes of the mineral industry do not include these emissions.

4.3 Verification

4.3.1 *Best Available Technique emission factors*

Best Available Technique emission factors are not available for this source.

4.4 Developing a consistent time series and recalculation

No specific issues.

4.5 Uncertainty assessment

No specific issues.

4.5.1 *Emission factor uncertainties*

No specific issues.

4.5.2 *Activity data uncertainties*

No specific issues.

4.6 Inventory quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC)

No specific issues.

4.7 Gridding

No specific issues.

4.8 Reporting and documentation

No specific issues.

5 Glossary

AR _{production}	the activity rate for the storage and handling of mineral products
E _{pollutant}	the emission of the specified pollutant
EF _{pollutant}	the emission factor for this pollutant

6 References

Infomil, 2006. Nederlandse emissie richtlijnen lucht (in Dutch).

Peutz, 2006. Emissiegegevens fijnstof (PM₁₀) overslagsbedrijven in het industriegebied Europort/Maasvlakte te Rotterdam. Rapportnummer FR 4897-2, 20 december 2006 (in Dutch).

US EPA, 2006. AP-42, *Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, Volume 1: Stationary Point and Area Sources* (with revision till November 2006). United States Environmental Protection Agency.

Visschedijk, A.J.H., Pacyna, J., Pulles, T., Zandveld, P. and Denier van der Gon, H., 2004. 'Coordinated European Particulate Matter Emission Inventory Program (CEPMEIP)'. In: Dilara, P., *et al.* (eds.), *Proceedings of the PM emission inventories scientific workshop, Lago Maggiore, Italy, 18 October 2004*. EUR 21302 EN, JRC, pp. 163–174.

Vrins, E., 1999. *Fijnstof-emissies bij op- en overslag*. Rapport Vr008, Randwijk (in Dutch).

7 Point of enquiry

Enquiries concerning this chapter should be directed to the relevant leader(s) of the Task Force on Emission Inventories and Projection's expert panel on combustion and industry. Please refer to the TFEIP website (www.tfeip-secretariat.org/) for the contact details of the current expert panel leaders.