

---

<b>Category</b>	<b>Title</b>
<b>General guidance</b>	Data collection
<b>Version</b>	Guidebook 2016

---

**Lead author**  
Mike Woodfield

---

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Collecting data.....</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Using existing data .....	4
2.2	Generating new data .....	8
2.3	Adapting data for inventory use.....	10
2.4	Emission factors and measured emissions .....	13
2.5	Activity data .....	16
<b>3</b>	<b>References .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Point of enquiry .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Appendix A</b>	<b>Protocol for expert elicitation .....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Appendix B</b>	<b>General guidance on performing surveys .....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>Appendix references</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>28</b>

# 1 Introduction

This guidance has been adapted from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) 2006 Good Practice Guidance (IPCC, 2006). The basic methods and principles are the same unless indicated otherwise. Data (1) collection is an integral part of developing and updating an inventory. Formalised data collection activities should be established, adapted to countries' national circumstances, and reviewed periodically as a part of implementing good practice. In most cases generating new source data will be limited by the resources available and prioritisation will be needed, taking account of the results of key category analysis. Data collection procedures are necessary for finding and processing existing data, (i.e. data that are compiled and stored for other statistical uses than the inventory), as well as for generating new data by surveys or measurement campaigns. Other activities include maintaining data flows, improving estimates, generating estimates for new categories and/or replacing existing data sources when those currently used are no longer available.

The methodological principles of data collection that underpin good practice are the following:

- focus on the collection of data needed to improve estimates of the largest key categories, which have the greatest potential to change or have the greatest uncertainty;
- choose data collection procedures that iteratively improve the quality of the inventory in line with the data quality objectives;
- put in place data collection activities (resource prioritisation, planning, implementation, documentation, etc.) that lead to a continuous improvement of the data sets used in the inventory;
- collect data/information at a level of detail appropriate to the method used;
- review data collection activities and methodological needs on a regular basis, to guide progressive, and efficient, inventory improvement;
- introduce agreements with data suppliers to support consistent and continuing information flows.

This chapter provides general guidance for collecting existing national/international data and new data. The material is intended both for countries establishing a data collection strategy for the first time and for countries with established data collection procedures. It is applicable to emission factor, activity, and uncertainty data collection. It covers:

- developing a data collection strategy to meet data quality objectives regarding timeliness, as well as consistency, completeness, comparability, accuracy, and transparency using guidance provided in Chapter 6, Inventory management, improvement and QA/QC of this General Guidance;
- data acquisition activities, generating new source data, dealing with restricted data and confidentiality, and using expert judgement;
- turning the raw data into a form that is useful for the inventory.

Advice related to selecting emission factors focuses on understanding and generating measured data as well as addressing where to find and when to use default factors. Guidance on activity data focuses on generating and using new census and survey data as well as providing guidance on the use of existing international data sets.

---

(1) Data can be defined as factual information (e.g. measurements or statistics) used as a basis for reasoning, discussion or calculation. Data collection is the activity of acquiring and compiling information from different sources.

## 2 Collecting data

This section provides general guidance for collecting existing data, generating new data, and adapting data for inventory use. The guidance is applicable to emission factors, activity and uncertainty data collection activities. It discusses separately specific issues relating to new data and existing data. Specific guidance for the collection/calculation of emission factors and the collection of activity and uncertainty data is provided subsequently. Throughout the data collection activities the inventory compiler should maintain QA/QC records about the data collected according to the guidance provided in Chapter 6, Inventory management, improvement and QA/QC. While collecting data it is good practice to be aware of future data collection needs.

### 2.1 Using existing data

#### 2.1.1 Sources of data

Although the list below is not exhaustive, it provides a starting point for possible sources of country-specific data:

- National Statistics Agencies (NSAs) (N.B. for European Union countries, activity statistics are available from Eurostat);
- emission data reported to Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers (PRTR) may sometimes be used for estimating emissions from industrial installations (N.B. emission data reported to the European Commission under a number of legal instruments, including the European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register, is publicly available);
- sectoral experts, stakeholder organisations;
- other country national experts;
- Emission Factor Databases (including the USA Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) AP 42, Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors [www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ap42/](http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ap42/));
- other international experts;
- international organisations publishing statistics; e.g. United Nations, Eurostat or the International Energy Agency, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) and the International Monetary Fund (IMF), which maintains international activity as well as economic data;
- reference libraries (national libraries);
- scientific and technical articles in environmental books, journals and reports;
- universities;
- web search for organisations and specialists;
- inventory reports from other parties.

#### **Screening of available data**

It is best to start data collection activities with an initial screening of available data sources. This will be an iterative process where details of data that are available are built up. This screening process may be slow and require questioning until a final judgement can be made about the usefulness of a data set for the inventory.

The purpose for which data were originally collected may be an important indicator of reliability. Regulatory authorities and official statistical bodies have a responsibility to take representative samples and accurate

measurements, and so they often adopt agreed standards. Often official statistics (because they have a more elaborate review process) take a long time to become available, but preliminary data may be available at an earlier stage. These preliminary data can be used provided that their validity is documented and can be checked against the data quality objectives set by the quality management system described in Chapter 6, Inventory management, improvement and QA/QC.

#### ***Refining data requirements***

Once the inventory compiler has selected a data set, unless published data can simply be used in their original form, the next step will be to develop a more formal specification and data request. This formalisation enables efficient annual updating (through knowing what to ask for, from whom, and when) while complying with QA/QC requirements for documentation (see Chapter 6, Inventory management, improvement and QA/QC). A clear definition of data requirements will ensure that when data are delivered as expected; the specification should include details such as:

- a definition of the data set (e.g. time series, sectors and sub-sector detail, national coverage, requirements for uncertainty data, emission factors and/or activity data units);
- a definition of the format (e.g. Microsoft Excel spreadsheet) and structure (e.g. what different tables are needed and their structure) of the data set;
- a description of any assumptions made regarding national coverage, the sectors included, representative year, technology/management level, and emission factors or uncertainty parameters;
- identification of the routines and timescales for data collection activities (e.g. how often the data set is updated and what elements are updated);
- a reference to documentation and QA/QC procedures;
- a contact name and organisation;
- a date of availability.

It can be useful to seek commitment to these specifications from the data provider organisation. Maintaining and updating these specifications on an annual basis, in case data requirements change, can also help to document the data sources and provide up-to-date material for annual data routine data collection activities. It is not unusual for the delivery of data sets to be delayed, so incorporating early warning routines to detect and manage delays can be useful.

#### ***Choosing between published national and international data***

In most cases it is preferable to use national data, since national data sources are typically more up-to-date and provide better links to the originators of the data. Most international datasets rely on nationally-derived data, and in some cases data from reputable international bodies may be more accessible and more applicable to the inventory. In some cases, groups such as international trade associations or international statistical bodies will have country-specific datasets for industries or other economic sectors that are not held by national organisations. Often international data have undergone additional checking and verification and may have been adjusted with the aim of increasing consistency, though this will not necessarily lead to improved estimates if the adjusted data are recombined with national information. Countries are encouraged to develop and improve national sources of data to avoid being reliant on international data. Crosschecking national data sets with any available international data can help to assess completeness and identify possible problems with either data set.

#### ***Surrogate data***

It is preferable to use data that are directly related to the item being quantified rather than to use surrogate data (i.e. alternative data that have a correlation with the data that they are replacing). In some cases, however, directly applicable data may be unavailable or have gaps (e.g. if survey and sampling programmes may be infrequent). In these cases surrogate data can help fill gaps and generate a consistent time series or a country average. For example, where a country has information for a high Tier estimation for some but not all of its facilities, then surrogate data can be used to fill the gaps. The surrogate data should be physically and statistically related to the emissions of the set of facilities for which information is not available. These alternative data should be selected based on country-specific circumstances and information, and a relationship between the data and emissions (i.e. an emission factor) developed using information from a representative subset of facilities whose emissions are known. The use of surrogate data to obtain an initial estimate of an emission or removal can help prioritise resources.

In selecting and using surrogate data to estimate emissions, it is good practice for countries to perform the following steps:

- Step 1) confirm and document the physical relationship between emissions and the surrogate activity data;
- Step 2) confirm and document a statistically significant correlation between emissions and the surrogate activity data;
- Step 3) using regression analysis, develop a country-specific factor relating emissions to the surrogate data.

#### **2.1.2 *Maintaining data supply***

It is good practice to engage data suppliers in the process of inventory compilation and improvement by involving them in activities such as:

- making an initial estimate for the category, pointing out the potentially high uncertainties and inviting potential data suppliers to collaborate in improving estimates;
- scientific or statistical workshops on the inventory inputs and outputs;
- specific contracts or agreements for regular data supply;
- regular/annual informal updates on the methods that use their data;
- establishing of terms of reference or memoranda of understanding for government and/or trade organisations providing data to clarify what is needed for the inventory, how it is derived and provided to the inventory compiler and when.

These activities will help to ensure that the most appropriate data is available for the inventory and that the inventory compiler properly understands the data. It will also help to establish links to data providing organisations.

Where appropriate, it may be useful to explore existing or new legal arrangements as means of guaranteeing the delivery of data to the emissions inventory.

#### ***Restricted data and confidentiality***

Data providers might restrict access to information because it is confidential, unpublished, or not yet finalised. Typically, this is a mechanism to prevent inappropriate use of the data, unauthorised commercial

exploitation, or sensitivity to possible imperfections in the data. Sometimes, however, the organisation simply does not have the resources required to compile and check the data. It is advisable, where possible, to cooperate with data providers to find solutions to overcome their concerns by:

- explaining the intended use of the data;
- agreeing, in writing, to the level at which it will be made public;
- identifying the increased accuracy that can be gained through its use in inventories;
- offering cooperation to derive mutually acceptable data;
- giving credit/acknowledgement in the inventory to the data providers.

The protection of confidentiality is one of the fundamental principles of a national statistical agency (NSA <sup>(2)</sup> — see: <http://unstats.un.org/unsd/methods/statorg/statorg.htm>). NSAs are committed to safeguarding information that plainly reveals the operations, belongings, attitudes or any other characteristics of individual respondents. If respondents are not convinced that the information they provide to the NSA is absolutely confidential, the quality of the information collected may suffer. Detailed individual data must therefore be treated and aggregated so as to draw out the information that is important to the user, without disclosing individual data. This is more likely to be an issue for business statistics, especially where a few companies dominate the sector, than for other data.

Sometimes, depending on the size and structure of the original sample, raw data can be aggregated in a way that protects confidentiality and yet produces useful information for emission inventory purposes. If, however, there is a need to preserve confidentiality the NSA, or the body that originally collected the data, are normally the only ones that can carry out this additional treatment of the raw data.

Some countries have special arrangements to mask data (i.e. make data anonymous with respect to companies or facilities) to allow researchers access. Inventory compilers may investigate the possibility of making such arrangements. However, as this reprocessing will be required regularly (annually if possible), a better solution would probably be for NSAs to incorporate this into their own work programmes. While this will require an initial investment in data processing, it will probably be quicker and less expensive in the long run. Once the reprocessing system is set up it can be reused every time the survey is repeated, with low marginal costs. An added advantage is that the information will then be in the public domain so that others can validate the figures reported in the inventories.

Many agencies collect ancillary data during operations for other purposes, such as registration of businesses or vehicles, collection of taxes, granting of licences, allocation of grants and subsidies. Such information is usually also covered by confidentiality clauses. In general, such clauses foresee the use of the data for statistical purposes, and NSAs have the right of access to such data. Often these administrative data form the basis for sample stratification and selection and NSAs will have experience in handling them, perhaps even developing specialist software that allows the required information to be drawn out without breaching the confidentiality rules.

For all these reasons, when existing data need to be reprocessed, it is strongly recommended to work together with NSAs or the statistical service of the relevant ministry, not only to protect confidentiality, but also for cost savings.

---

<sup>(2)</sup> Any main national official data collection organisation is referred to here as national statistical agency.

## 2.2 Generating new data

It may be necessary to generate new data if representative emission factors, activity data or other estimation parameters do not exist, or cannot be estimated from existing sources. Generation of new data may entail measurement programmes for industrial process or energy-related emissions, sampling of fuels for assay, or new censuses or surveys for activity data. Generation of new data is best undertaken by those with appropriate expertise (e.g. measurements carried out by competent organisations using appropriately calibrated equipment or surveys and censuses by any national statistical authority). These activities are often resource intensive and are most appropriately considered when the source is key and there are no other options. To optimise resource use it is recommended as far as possible to generate the required data from an extension of existing programmes rather than the initiation of totally new ones. More specific details for emission factor and activity data are outlined in the respective sections of this chapter. Where guidelines exist for activities that are defined in detail by other official bodies, such as statistical offices and measurement standards committees, these are also referenced in these sections.

### 2.2.1 Generating data by measurement

Measurement should be used in the context of advice in the sectoral chapters, for example to determine or revise emission factors, destruction/abatement efficiency factors and activity rates. Measurements can also be used to quantify emissions directly or to calibrate and verify models that are used to generate data.

When considering using measurement data from stationary sources it is good practice to check whether it is a representative sample, i.e. that it is typical of a reasonable proportion of the whole sector — and also whether a suitable measurement method has been used. The best measurement methods are those that have been developed by official standards organisations and field-tested to determine their operational characteristics <sup>(3)</sup>. Using standardised measurement methods improves the consistency of measured data and provides the user with additional information about the method such as statistical uncertainty levels, lower detection limits, sensitivity, and upper limits of measurement, etc. The International Standards Organisation (ISO) standards, European Standards (EN) or suitable validated national standards (EPA, VDI, etc.) may meet these criteria <sup>(4)</sup>. It is good practice for the inventory compiler to document any measurement or quality management standards that have been used, and to bear in mind the data requirements of the uncertainty analysis in Chapter 5, Uncertainties).

Reliable and comparable results can be achieved using a well-designed measurement programme with defined objectives, suitable methods, clear instructions to the measurement personnel, defined data processing and reporting procedures, and adequate documentation. Table 2-1 sets out the elements of such an approach.

**Table 2-1 Generic elements of a measurement programme**

	A clear statement of the parameter(s) to be determined; e.g. SO <sub>x</sub> , mercury, total particulate, PM <sub>10</sub> fraction, etc.
<b>Methodology protocol</b>	A description of the measurement methodology to be used. This should include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the components to be measured and any associated reference conditions (e.g. NO<sub>x</sub>, expressed as mg/m<sup>3</sup>, assuming standard</li> </ul>

<sup>(3)</sup> e.g. repeatability, reproducibility, detection limit, tolerance to interference, etc.

<sup>(4)</sup> The Guidance Document for the Implementation of the European PRTR (European Commission, 2006) provides a useful listing of suitable international standards.

	<p>conditions for temperature and pressure for dry gas (volume at 273.15 K, 101.3 kPa);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• methods to ensure that representative samples are taken that reflect the nature of the source category and the measurement objective (e.g. samples should be collected only when the emitting source is operating normally);</li> <li>• the identification of any standard techniques to be used (ISO, EN or other standards measurement method);</li> <li>• the analytical equipment needed and its operational requirements;</li> <li>• any accuracy, precision or uncertainty requirements (e.g. sufficient readings should be taken to enable the estimation of the 90 % confidence level);</li> <li>• data capture requirements to be met (e.g. continuous sampling covering greater than 80 % of the period tested);</li> <li>• QA/QC regimes to be followed (e.g. measurements should be taken according to ISO/IEC 17025:2005 General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories).</li> </ul>
<p><b>Measurement plan with clear instructions to the measurement personnel</b></p>	<p>A measurement plan specifies for those carrying out the measurements the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• number of sampling points for each parameter to be measured and how these are to be selected (for example, when the emission source has several discharge points);</li> <li>• number of individual measurements to be made for each sampling point and set of conditions (e.g. a minimum of 3 readings are required to measure heavy metal emissions at each discharge point);</li> <li>• measurement dates and periods of the measurement campaign;</li> <li>• reporting arrangements (including any special features that the report should contain);</li> <li>• additional source or process-related information to be collected to enable data processing or interpretation of the results (copies of plant operational logbooks etc);</li> <li>• conditions (or range of conditions) of the source (or for industrial plant the capacity, load, fuel or feedstock) to be met during the measurements;</li> <li>• personnel responsible for the measurements, who else is involved and the resources to be used.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Data processing and reporting procedures, and documentation</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Specify data processing requirements (e.g. requirements to convert to specific reference conditions of oxygen, etc.).</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Specify the reporting procedures that will form an account of the measurements, the description of the measurement objectives, and the measurement plan.</li> <li>Specify the documentation requirements to enable the results to be traced back through the calculations to the collected basic data and process operating conditions.</li> </ul>
<p>Notes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Further guidance is given in prEN ISO 11771 Air Quality — Stationary Source Emissions — Determination of time averaged mass emissions and emissions factors — General approach.</li> <li>Guidance on using measurement to generate data for the European Pollution Release and transfer register is given in the 'Guidance Document for the Implementation of the European PRTR'.</li> </ol>	

Emissions from diffuse or fugitive sources from industrial plants, roads, or area sources can be estimated by monitoring ambient air emissions and then using reverse dispersion modelling methods to estimate the source strength. For example EN15445:2007 'Fugitive and diffuse emissions of common concern to industry sectors — Fugitive dust emission rate estimates by Reverse Dispersion Modelling', describes such an approach and the sampling experimental set-up, it provides guidance on taking into account field data such as number, height and width of diffuse dust sources, sampling distances, and meteorological information.

### 2.2.2 Using models

Although models are frequently used to assess complex systems and can be used to generate data, models are a means of data transformation and do not remove the need for data to drive them.

## 2.3 Adapting data for inventory use

Whether using existing data, making new measurements or combining the two, it is important to ensure that the level of detail and coverage of the data are matched, including sectors/process/abatement, location, land type, compound and year included.

### 2.3.1 Filling gaps in data sets

Emissions inventories require consistent estimates across time series or between sub-sectors. This section introduces approaches to fill gaps if data are missing for one or more years or the data do not represent the year or national coverage required. Examples of data gaps or inconsistencies and guidance for addressing them are presented below.

- Filling gaps in periodic data:** gaps in the time series will exist when data are available at less than annual frequency. For example, time consuming and expensive surveys relating to natural resources — such as national forest inventories — are compiled at intervals of every fifth or tenth year. Time series data need to be inferred to compile a complete annual estimate for the years between surveys, and for fore- and back-casts (e.g. estimates are needed for 1990–2004 when survey data are only available for 1995 and 2000). Chapter 4, Time series consistency, provides details on splicing and extrapolation methods to fill these gaps.
- Time series revision:** in order to meet deadlines, statistical organizations may use modelling and assumptions to complete the most recent year of their estimates. These estimates are then refined the following year when all the data have been processed. Data can also have been subject to further historic revision to correct errors or to update new methodologies. It is important that the inventory compiler looks for these changes in the source data time series and integrates them into the emission

inventory. Chapter 4, Time series consistency, of the present chapter contains more guidance on this issue.

- **Incorporating improved data:** while the ability of countries to collect data generally improves over time and thus implement higher Tier methods, the data may not necessarily be suitable for earlier years. For example, when direct sampling and measurement programs are introduced, there will be inconsistencies in the time series if the new data are not indicative of past conditions. Sometimes this can be addressed if the new data are sufficiently detailed (e.g. if emission factors for a modern abated plant can be distinguished from those of an older unabated plant) and the historic activity data can be stratified using expert judgement or surrogate data. Chapter 4, Time series consistency, provides more details on the methods of incorporating improved data consistently across a time series.
- **Compensating for deteriorating data:** splicing techniques, as described in the chapter on time series consistency, can be used to manage data sets that have deteriorated over time. Deterioration can occur as the result of changing priorities within governments, economic restructuring, or diminishing resources. For example, some countries with economies in transition no longer collect certain data sets that were available in the base year, or, if available, these data sets may contain different definitions, classifications and levels of aggregation. The international data sources discussed in subsection 2.5, Activity data, of the present chapter may provide another source of relevant activity data.
- **Incomplete coverage:** when data do not fully represent the whole country; e.g. measurements for 3 of 10 plants or survey data of the agricultural activity for 80 % of the country, then the data can still be used but needs to be combined with other data to calculate a national estimate. In these cases, expert judgement (see subsection 2.1.2 above for details) or the combination of these data with other data sets (surrogate or exact data) can be used to calculate a national total. In some cases, survey or census data are collected in a rolling national programme that samples different provinces or sub-sectors yearly with a repeat cycle that builds a complete data set after a period of years. It is recommended that, bearing in mind that time series consistency assumptions made in one year must also apply to the other years, data sources should be requested to compute representative yearly totals.

### 2.3.2 Combining data sets

Sometimes an inventory compiler will be presented with several potential datasets to use for the same estimate, e.g. a series of independent measurements for the carbon content of a fuel. If the data refer to the same quantity and were collected in a reasonably uniform manner, then combining them will increase accuracy and precision. Combination can be achieved by pooling the raw data and re-estimating the mean and 95 % confidence limits, or by combining summary statistics using the relationships set out in statistical textbooks. It is also possible to combine measurements of a single quantity made using different methods that produce results with different underlying probability distributions, but the methods for doing this are more complex and in most cases it will probably be sufficient to use expert judgement to decide whether to average the results, or to use the more reliable estimate and discard the other.

When using data that are not homogeneous (e.g. because of the presence of abatement technology at some plants but not others) the inventory estimate should be stratified (subdivided) so that each stratum is homogeneous and the national total for the source category will then be the sum of the strata. The uncertainty estimates can then be obtained using the methods set out in Chapter 5, Uncertainties, by treating each stratum in the same way as an individual source category. Inhomogeneity may be identified

by specific knowledge of circumstances of individual plant or technology types, or by detailed data analysis, e.g. scatter plots of estimated emissions against activity data.

Empirical data sets may contain outliers — data points that lie outside the main probability distribution and are regarded as unrepresentative. These may be identified by some rule — for example lying more than three standard deviations from the mean. Before taking this path the inventory compiler should consider whether the apparently anomalous data do in fact indicate some other set of circumstances (for example plant in start-up conditions) that should really be represented separately in the inventory estimate.

- **Multi-year averaging:** countries should report annual inventory estimates that are based on best estimates for actual emissions and removals in that year. Generally, single-year estimates provide the best approximation of real emissions/removals and a time series of single-year estimates prepared according to good practice can be considered consistent. Countries should, where possible, avoid using multi-year averaging of data that would result in over- or under-estimates of emissions over time, increased uncertainty or reduced transparency, comparability or time-series consistency of the estimates. However, in some specific cases that are described for specific Sectors in the Sectoral Guidance, multi-year averaging may be the best/only way to estimate data for a single year.
- **Non-calendar year data:** it is good practice to use calendar year data whenever the data are available. If calendar year data are unavailable, then other types of annual year data (e.g. non-calendar fiscal year data, e.g. April–March) can be used provided that it is used consistently over the time series and the collection period for the data is documented. Similarly, different collection periods can be used for different emission and removal categories, again provided that the collection periods are used consistently over time and documented. It is good practice to use the same collection periods consistently over the time series to avoid bias in the trend. Animal population data may, for example, have been collected in the summer and so may not correspond with the annual average. The data should be corrected where possible to represent the calendar year; if uncorrected data are used, it is good practice for the inventory compiler to make consistent use of either calendar year data or fiscal year data for all years in the time series.

### 2.3.3 Using regional inventory data

In some circumstances regional activity statistics and emission datasets are more detailed, up-to-date, accurate and/or complete than national datasets. In these cases a regionally compiled and then aggregated inventory can result in a better quality inventory for a country than one compiled using averaged national statistics and datasets. In such cases, and in order to fulfil the requirements of good practice, inventories can be compiled entirely or in part on a regional basis provided that:

- each regional component is compiled in a way that is consistent with good practice QA/QC, choice of Tiers, time series consistency and completeness;
- the approach used to aggregate the regional inventories and fill any gaps at a national level is transparent and in line with the good practice methods provided in the Guidelines;
- the final country inventory complies with the good practice quality requirements of completeness, consistency, comparability, timeliness, accuracy and transparency. In particular the sector estimates calculated at different regions, and then aggregated in the final inventory, should be self-consistent. There should be no emissions omitted or double counted in the aggregated inventory and the different parts of the inventory should use assumptions and data consistently as far as practical and appropriate.

#### **2.3.4 Expert judgement**

The expert judgement on which methodological choice and input data to use is ultimately the basis of all inventory development and sector specialists can be of particular use to fill gaps in the available data, to select data from a range of possible values or make a judgement about uncertainty ranges as described in Appendix A. Experts with suitable backgrounds can, for example, be found in government, industrial trade associations, technical institutes, industry and universities.

The goal of expert judgement may be to find: the proper choice of methodology and parameter values from ranges provided; the appropriate mix of technology in use; the most appropriate activity data to use; or the most appropriate way to apply a methodology. A degree of expert judgement is required even when applying classical statistical techniques to data sets, since one must judge whether the data are a representative random sample and, if so, what methods to use to analyse the data. This requires both technical and statistical judgement. Interpretation is especially needed for data sets that are small, highly skewed or incomplete. In all cases the aim is to be as representative as possible in order to reduce possible bias and increase accuracy. The formal methods for obtaining (or eliciting) data from experts are known as expert elicitation (see Appendix A for details).

### **2.4 Emission factors and measured emissions**

This section provides generic advice for the derivation or review of emission or removal factors; this includes specialised literature sources, using measured data and international standards, and further remarks on combining data sets. It is good practice when developing emission or abatement factors to follow the stepwise approach to data collection described above:

- setting priorities;
- developing a strategy for accessing the data;
- collecting and processing the data.

#### **2.4.1 Literature sources**

Inventory compilers commonly rely on available literature to find emission and removal factors. Table 2-2 lists a variety of potential literature sources in order of descending likelihood of the data being representative and appropriate for national circumstances. It is good practice, for countries to use their own, peer-reviewed, published literature because this should provide the most accurate representation of their country's practices and activities. If there are no country-specific peer-reviewed studies available, then the inventory compiler can use such data — that do exist or literature value,; e.g. modelled/estimated energy data from international bodies.

A literature review is a useful approach for gathering and selecting from among a variety of possible data sources. Literature reviews can be time-consuming because many lead to old data and, in addition, the use of conversion units may generate artificial differences. Journal papers can sometimes be accessible through the web without a subscription and libraries may facilitate search and access. Specialised literature sources relevant to emission factors are:

- national and international testing facilities (e.g. road traffic testing facilities);
- industrial trade associations (technical papers such as reports, guidelines, standards, sectoral surveys or similar technical material);
- national authorities with responsibility for regulating emissions from industrial processes.

Literature reviews should be fully documented so that the data used for the inventory is transparent (see Chapter 6, Inventory management, improvement and QA/QC). It is also helpful to record the sources not used, providing an explanation of why, to save time in later literature review activities.

**Table 2-2 Potential sources of literature data**

Literature Type	Where to find it	Comments
EMEP/CORINAIR Emission Inventory Guidebook	EEA (European Environment Agency website)	Useful defaults or for crosschecking. May not be representative of processes in your country or appropriate for Key Category estimates
International Emission Factor Databases: USEPA	USEPA website	Useful defaults or for crosschecking. May not be representative of processes in your country or appropriate for Key Category estimates
Country-specific data from international or national peer-reviewed journals	National reference libraries, environmental press, environmental news journals	Reliable if representative. Can take time to be published
National testing facilities (e.g. road traffic testing facilities)	National laboratories	Reliable. Need to make sure the factors are representative and that standard methods are used
Emission regulating authority records and papers or pollution release and transfer registries	Industrial process regulating authority	Regularly updated and plant-specific. Quality is dependent on the regulatory requirements, which may not extend to the methods used for estimating/measuring
Industry technical and trade papers	Specific trade association publications, libraries, and Web search	Sector-specific and up-to-date. QA/QC is needed to check for bias in data and to ensure the test conditions and measurement standards are understood
Other specific studies, census, survey, measurement and monitoring data	Universities (environmental, measurement and monitoring departments)	Need to make sure the factors are representative and that standard methods are used
International Emission Factor Databases: OECD	OECD website	Useful defaults or for crosschecking. May not be representative of processes in your country or appropriate for Key Category estimates
Emission factors for other countries	Inventory reports from other parties, web search, national libraries	Appropriate for emissions inventory use. Useful defaults or for crosschecking. May not be representative of processes in your country or appropriate for Key Category estimates

#### 2.4.2 Measurement

This section applies the guidance in subsection 2.2.1 of the present chapter to assessing the quality of measurement data for determination of emissions, emission factors and abatement or destruction efficiencies.

In this approach the emissions can be determined directly (i.e. using continuous or periodic emission monitoring systems). For emissions that depend on variable combustion, process and operating conditions and technologies (e.g. non-methane VOC, CO, and oxides of nitrogen from combustion) direct monitoring is likely to be the most accurate way to determine emissions.

When reviewing energy or industrial plant data, it is important to ensure that the measurements are representative of the specific activity and do not include extraneous components. For example, stack measurements may exclude losses to the atmosphere through evaporation or poorly burned fuel (that is emitted as VOC); these should be included in the reported emissions totals.

In implementing the elements of a measurement programme identified in subsection 2.2.1 it is good practice to:

- distinguish between different components in a mixed fuel/raw material feed, e.g. coal and wood in a mixed fuel boiler;
- specify how the chemical composition of fuels and raw materials should be determined from the analyses of samples taken from delivery trucks/tankers, pipelines, or stockpiles;
- ensure representative sampling of exhaust gases;
- use instruments with known performance characteristics or perform relative accuracy audits against established standard reference methods.

Most gas analysers determine the volume concentration of gaseous components (volume/volume) and so unless conditions can be shown to be stable it will be necessary to measure the exhaust gas flow rate, pressure, temperature, and water vapour content, so that the emission data can be converted to reference conditions for temperature and pressure (e.g. 273 K and 101.3 kPa, dry) or quoted on a mass emission basis. Other measurements are usually needed to calculate process-specific conversion and oxidation efficiency factors and, if the fuel/raw materials used are not dry, a moisture analysis will be required. Related measurements should be made simultaneously, or in such a way that ensures the correct functional relationship between the variables being sampled, otherwise integrated flows or emissions derived from the measurements are likely to be incorrect.

It is good practice to use scales and flow meters that are of a known quality, calibrated, maintained, and regularly inspected, when using measurements to calculate activity rates, e.g. from measured fuel or raw material feed rates (or sometimes from production data). Measurement equipment can be of variable quality and it is important that there is regular maintenance and calibration procedures in place and that these are subject to regular QA/QC review. When recording is carried out on a continuous basis it is good practice to monitor and record any time when meters are not working and the data capture rate is reduced — the advice on gap filling (in subsection 2.3, Adapting data for inventory use) can, however, enable imperfect data sets to be repaired sufficiently for some purposes — such as the generation of emission factors.

It is also good practice, as part of the measurement programme, to include in the scope of a monitoring protocol how, if the fuel/raw materials are not dry or there are contaminants that could adversely affect the measurement process, moisture and other measurements are to be carried out.

Quality management is an important factor to take into account. EN:ISO/IEC 17025:2005 'General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories' describes a useful QA/QC regime for testing and measurement. It encourages the use of standard methods, by qualified personnel, using suitability tested equipment and traceable calibration artefacts. Taking and storing samples, any subsequent analysis, and the reporting of results should also be covered by a quality management system. DD CEN/TS 15675:2007 Air quality. Measurement of stationary source emissions. Application of EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005 to periodic measurements, and prEN ISO 11771 Air Quality — Stationary Source Emissions — Determination of time-averaged mass emissions and emissions factors — General approach, are also relevant.

## 2.5 Activity data

This section provides general advice for the production or review of activity data. This includes:

- information on specialised data sources;
- conducting surveys and censuses;
- where appropriate, the use of measurement related data.

It is good practice when producing suitable activity data to follow the stepwise approach to data collection described under subsection 2.1.2 above. In addition, Section 2 of Chapter 6, Inventory management, improvement and QA/QC, provides guidance on managing the inventory process. This process should include a strategy for accessing the data requirements of the inventory, collecting the data needed, and preparing it for use in the inventory.

This section provides generic advice relevant to the choice of activity data to use.

### **Data sources**

*National and international literature:* as described in subsection 2.1.1, it is preferable to use data from such bodies as National Statistical Agencies and national regulatory authorities responsible for the permitting of industrial and other processes subject to pollution-emission legislation.

- There will be occasions, however, when other sources of specialised literature provide activity data, i.e. UN statistics, US Geological Survey (USGS) reports on commodities, and technical reports, guidelines, standards, sectoral surveys issued by industrial trade associations.

### **Surveys and census information**

Survey and census information (*see note below*) provide the best agricultural, production and energy statistics that can be used for the emission inventory. Generally, these data are compiled by national statistical agencies (NSAs) or relevant ministries for national policy purposes or to comply with international demand for data, or other activities that are outside of the direct control of the inventory compiler, although the needs of the inventory can sometimes trigger or influence surveys or censuses.

#### **NOTE:**

#### **The difference between census and survey data**

**Survey data** are derived from sampling and do not include real data for the whole population. Surveys should assess a representative sample (in the context of the survey purpose), so that the results can be expanded to provide an estimate of the full population. A survey could, for example, assess the number of animals in a country or region by surveying a discrete selection of farms and groups of farms in a country or region. Using more general surrogate data and assumptions would then derive the national or regional total. Both the representativeness of the sample and the methods used to gross-up need careful review.

**Census data** are based on a complete count of the whole population, i.e. an actual count of all the animals in a region or country. A census is usually limited in detail and diversity to only the most important national statistics such as human and livestock population. It is expensive and time consuming and this is a significant limiting factor for specific national inventory applications. Often, census data are used as a reliable surrogate for extrapolating survey data to national statistics.

*Using existing census and survey data:* in some countries the NSA is a single agency responsible for all national statistics, while in others the task is split among multiple agencies, each of which collect official statistics related to their field i.e. a country's agriculture ministry may be responsible for carrying out

agricultural surveys and censuses. This has the advantage that the ministry is likely to have the specialist knowledge required to define adequately the data to be collected and to have at their disposal the administrative information to help stratify and select the sample to be surveyed, for example, a register of businesses working in the area covered by the remit of the ministry. In these cases ministries may have their own statistics departments (or will work closely with any NSA) to provide the specialist with statistical knowledge, which is essential to avoiding many of the common pitfalls in data collection.

Where available these data sets can be used either directly (if they represent the geographical and sectoral coverage required) or as part of hybrid data set in combination with other information necessary to derive the detail and geographical coverage required.

*Developing new surveys:* developing new surveys, especially surveys of consumers or households, is relatively expensive because sample size and proper conduct of the fieldwork, data processing, analysis and reporting are all demanding. Considerable effort would be required to check the reliability and consistency of data, even when response rates appear to be otherwise satisfactory. Unless they can be consistently repeated, surveys are only able to give measurements relating to one point in time. Bearing this in mind, and also taking into account the length of time such surveys take to design, execute and analyse (for the development of a major survey, planning typically starts about 18 months before data collection starts, with results available a year or more after the data collection period), attention should first be paid to the possibility of obtaining regular and consistent data from existing sources, such as recombining data collected for other purposes, or using administrative data.

Where new data collection is unavoidable, the NSA and/or the relevant ministry can identify what surveys are ongoing or planned, and can explore the possibility of adding new questions or modules to these surveys to fill the data gaps. One of the many advantages of working with a NSA or ministry is that they will design the method of collection and the questionnaire to take into account the needs of as many users as possible. This reduces costs as well as the burden on businesses and other respondents, making it more likely that they will complete the questionnaire. Also, selecting the survey sample requires a reliable sampling frame, for example, census data or business registers. The NSA or relevant ministry will have ready access to such sources, and experience in using them. They will have teams of qualified and experienced statisticians, experts in sample selection, questionnaire design, data handling and verification, and the necessary software to process the data. They may also have teams of interviewers experienced in telephone or personnel surveying. All of these factors contribute to the success of any survey and equally importantly, to keeping costs down.

*General guidelines for planning surveys and census:* it is good practice to plan each step with all subsequent steps in mind, from data collection, processing and analysis to dissemination of output. For example, the questionnaire and other data-collection procedures should be developed only after thinking through how the data will be processed and analysed, and the nature of the statistical information that will eventually be reported. In particular, planning needs to cover:

- budget issues: costs will always be a major consideration. The total budget needs to be calculated and resources allocated to each phase of the process. Uncontrolled spending on each phase until the budget is depleted can lead to the collection of data without the necessary resources to produce and disseminate high quality output;
- staffing issues, including management of the interviewer workforce: staff resources need to be planned to ensure that people with the right skills are available at the appropriate times in all phases of the process. If interviewers are used rather than self-completion questionnaires, the interviewer workforce is likely to be the largest single cost in the collection;

- project management and timetable issues: good project management is essential to ensure a smooth-running collection. Adequate time needs to be allocated to each phase of the collection process. A thorough pre-testing of the questionnaire will help ensure that the data collected are reliable and valid.

The American Statistical Association's brochure on how to plan a survey is a useful source of help when setting up a new survey, and can be downloaded from [www.amstat.org/sections/srms/brochures/survplan.pdf](http://www.amstat.org/sections/srms/brochures/survplan.pdf)

The UN's guidelines for conducting household surveys in developing and transition countries provide detailed information on how to set up sample surveys based on direct questions to households, and can be found on [http://unstats.un.org/unsd/HHsurveys/part1\\_new.htm](http://unstats.un.org/unsd/HHsurveys/part1_new.htm). Another useful source is 'Basic Steps in Conducting Surveys', available at [www.energy.ca.gov/marketinfo/documents/98-10\\_LANG2.pdf](http://www.energy.ca.gov/marketinfo/documents/98-10_LANG2.pdf)

Moreover, many organisations contribute to statistical capacity building and will provide assistance to developing countries wishing to set up new surveys; the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), and the World Bank are the implementing agencies of the Global Environment Facility.

References to guidance for performing surveys or censuses for energy, industrial processes, agriculture, forestry and waste are given in Appendix B of this present document.

Three important steps are needed before deciding whether a survey is required, and what modules it should contain:

- review what data are likely to be available through existing data systems, including planned surveys. Remember that published statistics are based on detailed data that have been treated and aggregated to draw out the information that is important to the main user. In some cases, depending on the size and structure of the original sample, those raw data can be recombined in different ways to produce data that are appropriate for another user;
- explore administrative sources of data. While the administrative records may not initially be easy to use for inventory purposes, once the system has been reorganised and restructured to produce the relevant data, it can become the regular source of the relevant information, at little marginal cost. More and more countries are beginning to realise the cost benefits of using administrative data for statistics, and in some cases, NSAs are obliged by law to explore the use of administrative data to provide statistics before deciding to launch an expensive new survey;
- explore the possibility of incorporating new questions or modules into existing surveys.

If, after exploring the possibility to make use of existing data, data gaps still remain, then approach the NSA or ministry about carrying out a new survey. Provided the financial resources are made available, the NSA or ministry will be able to provide the all-important expertise. Also explore whether other partners might be interested in sharing the work and resources needed for it.

## 3 References

European Commission (2006), *Guidance Document for the Implementation of the European PRTR*, European Commission, May 2006.

IPCC (2006), *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories*, IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Global Environmental Strategies (IGES), Hayama, Japan ([www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/index.htm](http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/index.htm)).

## 4 Point of enquiry

Enquiries concerning this chapter should be directed to the co-chairs of the Task Force on Emission Inventories and Projections (TFEIP). Please refer to the TFEIP website ([www.tfeip-secretariat.org/](http://www.tfeip-secretariat.org/)) for the contact details of the current co-chairs.

## Appendix A Protocol for expert elicitation

Wherever possible, expert judgement should be elicited using an appropriate protocol. An example of a well-known protocol for expert elicitation, Stanford/SRI protocol, has been adapted and is described below:

- **motivating:** establish a rapport with the expert and describe the context of the elicitation. Explain the elicitation method to be used and the reason it was designed that way. The elicitor should also try to explain the most commonly occurring biases to the expert and also try to identify possible biases in the expert;
- **structuring:** clearly define the quantities for which judgements are to be sought, including, for example, the year and country, the source category, the averaging time to be used (one year), the focus activity data, emission factor or, for uncertainty, the mean value of emission factors or other estimation parameter, and the structure of the inventory model. Clearly identify conditioning factors and assumptions (e.g. resulting emissions or removals should be for typical conditions averaged over a one-year period);
- **conditioning:** work with the expert to identify and record all relevant data, models and theory relating to the formulation of the judgements;
- **encoding:** request and quantify the expert's judgement. The specific qualification will differ for different elements and be present in the form of a probability distribution for uncertainty, and an activity or emission factor estimate for activity data and emission factors. If appropriately managed, information on uncertainty (probability density function) can be gathered at the same time as gathering estimates of activity or emission factor. The section on encoding in Chapter 3, Uncertainties, describes some alternative methods to use for encoding uncertainty;
- **verification:** analyze the expert's response and provide the expert with feedback as to what has been concluded regarding his or her judgement. Is what has been encoded really what the expert meant? Are there inconsistencies in the expert's judgement?

*Possible biases in expert elicitation:* elicitation protocols should be designed to overcome the biases that can be introduced by the rules of thumb (sometimes called heuristics) that experts use when formulating judgements.

The most common unconscious biases introduced by rules of thumb are:

- **availability bias:** this is basing judgements on outcomes that are more easily remembered;
- **representativeness bias:** this is basing judgements on limited data and experience without fully considering other relevant evidence;
- **anchoring and adjustment bias:** this is fixating on a particular value in a range and making insufficient adjustments away from it in constructing representative estimate.

To counteract the first two potential sources of biases, elicitation protocols should include a review of relevant evidence. In order to counteract the third potential source of bias, it is important to ask the expert to make judgments regarding extreme values first, before asking for judgments regarding the best estimate or central values for an uncertainty distribution.

There is also the possibility of more conscious biases:

- motivational bias is a desire by an expert to influence an outcome or to avoid contradicting prior positions on an issue;
- expert bias arises from an unqualified expert's desire to appear as a true expert in the field. This would typically lead to overconfident estimates of uncertainty;
- managerial bias is a situation in which an expert makes judgements that achieve organisational goals, rather than judgements that reflect the actual state of knowledge regarding an inventory input;
- selection bias occurs when the inventory compiler selects the expert who tells it what it wants to hear.

The best way to avoid these biases is to be careful in the selection of experts. Expert judgments can be elicited from individuals or groups. Groups can be useful for sharing knowledge and hence could be part of the motivation, structuring, and conditioning steps of the elicitation. However, group dynamics occasionally introduce other biases. Thus, it is usually preferable to elicit judgement on an individual basis. When eliciting judgments independently for a given quantity from two or more experts, it is possible that different views on distributions (or ranges) will be obtained. In some cases, the differences may not lead to a significant difference in the overall estimate for the inventory, such as when the inventory is not sensitive to the particular quantity. Thus, in these cases, disagreements among experts do not matter significantly to the overall assessment. However, when judgments differ, and when the quantity for the judgments made is important to the overall inventory, there are two main approaches that can be used. One is to estimate resulting emissions or removals and perform the uncertainty analysis separately for each set of judgments and compare the results. The other is to ask the experts to weight the judgments and combine them into one assessment. The former approach is preferred where possible, but the latter is acceptable provided that the judgments are weighted and not averaged. The difference is that weighting enables sampling from each of the expert's estimations, whereas averaging can produce intermediate values that are not supported by any of the expert's judgement. A similar situation can occur when comparing predictions with alternative models, as described in subsection 2.3.2, *Combining data sets* (numerically), and the distinction between weighting and averaging is explained there. Although the development of weighting schemes can be complex, it is reasonable to start with assuming equal weights for each expert and refine the development of weights only as needed or as appropriate for a given situation.

*Expert judgement documentation:* the subjective nature of expert judgment increases the need for quality assurance and quality control procedures to improve comparability of emission and uncertainty estimates between countries. It is recommended that expert judgments are documented as part of the national archiving process, and inventory compilers are encouraged to review expert judgments, particularly for key categories. Table A.1 below shows an example of the document elements necessary to provide transparent expert judgment (Column 1) and an example of the data to record (Column 2).

Such documentation will save the compiler a considerable amount of time in reporting and documenting the inventory through the enhanced transparency of the inventory. More general text on documentation, checking and review of methods is included in Chapter 6, Inventory management, improvement and QA/QC. These principles should also be applied to the use of expert judgement in inventory compilation and uncertainty assessment.

**Table A.1 Example of documentation of expert judgement**

<b>Documentation element</b>	<b>Documentation example</b>
Reference number for judgement	EJIPPU2005-001
Date	14 January 2005
Name of expert(s) involved	Dr Anne N Other
Expert's background (references, roles, etc.)	Nitric Acid Process emissions and abatement industrial expert
The quantity being judged	National emission factor for emissions of N <sub>2</sub> O from nitric acid plant
The logical basis for judgement, including any data taken into consideration. This should include the rationale for the high end, low end and central tendency of any uncertainty distribution	An absence of measurement data for 9 out of the 10 nitric acid plants. The single plant estimate has been recommended as the basis for a national factor to be applied to national nitric acid production
The result: e.g. activity value, emission factor or for uncertainty the probability distribution, or the range and most likely value and the probability distribution subsequently inferred	8.5 kg N <sub>2</sub> O/tonne nitric acid produced for 1990–2003
Identification of any external reviewers	Nitric Acid Trade Association
Results of any external review	See document: e:/2003/ExpertJudgement/ EJIPPU2005-001.doc
Approval by inventory compiler, specifying date and person	25 January 2005, Dr S.B Else

## Appendix B      General guidance on performing surveys

Survey data are often compiled using financial/fiscal incentives for reporting. This may introduce possible bias if the incentives favour a certain bias in reporting, e.g. taxation may encourage under-reporting while incentives may encourage over-reporting. In addition, differential taxation of different categories using the same fuels may skew reporting, e.g. over-reporting of fuel used in low-taxation categories and under-reporting of fuels used in high-taxation categories.

### Energy surveys

Energy statistics are a fundamental component of emissions inventories and there is great potential for double counting. The best way to avoid double counting is to compile energy balances according to the basic principles, concepts and methods developed at international level. The United Nations publication *Energy Statistics: A Manual for Developing Countries* (1991) serves as a guide for developing countries for the comprehensive, reliable and regular collection of energy statistics. Various sources of inconsistencies, such as sources of data, concepts and definitions and time spans/coverage, are discussed in detail for all types of energy commodities, and recommendations are provided to minimize or eliminate them. English and French versions can be downloaded from: <http://unstats.un.org/unsd/pubs/gesgrid.asp?ID=51>. This publication should be used in conjunction with two other UN publications:

- *Concepts and Methods in Energy Statistics, with Special Reference to Energy Accounts and Balances* (1982), which considers: the nature of energy statistics and the kinds of policy problems for which they are required, the conceptual and methodological issues to which these problems give rise and the possible conventions that might be adopted for dealing with some of these issues. It also examines the key role played by quantitative overall energy balances, the desirable features of such balances (whether used for analysing the past or for speculating about the future), the classification problems posed by energy statistics, and the relationship between such data and other economic statistics and accounting frameworks. The document is out of print but English, French and Russian versions can be downloaded from: <http://unstats.un.org/unsd/pubs/gesgrid.asp?ID=20>
- *Energy Statistics: Definitions, Units of Measure and Conversion Factors* (1987), which contains detailed information on terminologies for energy commodities, units of measurement and conversion from one unit to another. It provides internationally adopted definitions, conversion factors and descriptive tables for analysis and comparison of international energy statistics. The document is out of print, but English, French, Russian and Spanish versions can be downloaded from: <http://unstats.un.org/unsd/pubs/gesgrid.asp?ID=37>

Also, IEA has published an Energy Statistics Manual (free download at [www.iea.org/Textbase/publications/free\\_new\\_Desc.asp?PUBS\\_ID=1461](http://www.iea.org/Textbase/publications/free_new_Desc.asp?PUBS_ID=1461)) providing useful background information for collecting, reporting and understanding energy statistics.

The UN Statistics manual for developing countries in English and French can be downloaded at [http://unstats.un.org/unsd/publication/SeriesF/SeriesF\\_56E.pdf](http://unstats.un.org/unsd/publication/SeriesF/SeriesF_56E.pdf). See also <http://unstats.un.org/unsd/pubs/gesgrid.asp?mysearch=energy&sort=title> for other UN Energy titles.

Enerdata, Eurostat also provide additional data sets on energy and other statistics.

In some cases energy data are not available at the level of detail necessary to estimate emissions, e.g. for non-CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of road transport where the emissions are highly dependant on the use of catalytic converters in petrol vehicles, in these cases additional survey or census data should be used to make estimates, e.g. vehicle sales and traffic survey data.

#### Industry surveys

Greenhouse gas inventories require data on the production of industrial commodities and, if possible, on the production processes. For the purpose of collecting harmonised statistics on industrial production, standardised commodity lists have been established at international level, and countries are encouraged to adopt these lists for their own purposes, as this will be most cost efficient. These lists are updated regularly to take account of new products being developed. At UN level, the industrial commodities list and methodologies for data collection are currently under revision, with new guidelines expected in 2005. The revised list will be based on the Central Product Classification (CPC) and will be fully compatible with the International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC), the European Union's PRODCOM commodities list and the Harmonised Nomenclature System (HS) used for foreign trade statistics. The revised industrial commodities list and guidelines for countries will be available on the United Nations Statistics Division (UNSD) website, <http://unstats.un.org/unsd/methods.htm> when finalized. The CPC, ISIC and HS classifications can be found at <http://unstats.un.org/unsd/cr/registry/regct.asp?Lg=1>. Detailed chemical industry data (production per country of many products, process data) can be acquired from SRI Consulting ([www.sriconsulting.com](http://www.sriconsulting.com)): process data on aluminium production can be obtained from Aluminium Verlag ([www.aluverlag.de](http://www.aluverlag.de)); steel process information can be acquired from the International Iron and Steel Institute (IISI) ([www.worldsteel.com](http://www.worldsteel.com)).

It is more difficult to obtain information on production processes used by industry. Business registers may contain this information, but the logistics of keeping this up-to-date are formidable. Industry associations that bring together businesses working in a common field can often be a useful source of help. As specialists in their field, they will have an insider's knowledge of the most common processes used, and may even be willing to survey their members at regular intervals to assess penetration of new processes. In the 1990's Eurostat produced the NOSE-p list — a Nomenclature of Sources of Emissions that links processes to industries. This needs to be revised, but remains a useful starting point for countries starting work in this area.

Data on industrial production and production processes are also extremely useful in producing statistics on industrial waste, see below.

Production data used to estimate emissions from consumption of a product or fuel should, wherever possible, incorporate the import/export statistics for that commodity. Production statistics may, with care, be used as a surrogate for consumption when net import or exports are thought to be significant but not quantifiable. However, since there is a possibility of incompleteness or overestimation due to under-reporting of imports and/or exports, the completeness of accounting of imports and exports should be checked with the statistical office.

Where production data are used, care should be taken to identify whether the data represents gross or net production (i.e. with or without internal recycling). For some categories these figures could differ by 5 to 10 %, e.g. steel, aluminium and glass. Whatever production statistics are used, appropriate emission factors should be applied and the inventory compiler should be sensitive to any tax or financial influences that might lead to over- or under-reporting of emissions.

### ***Agricultural surveys and censuses***

Since its establishment, the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) has promoted national censuses of agriculture through its Programme for the World Census of Agriculture (see [www.fao.org/es/ess/census/default.asp](http://www.fao.org/es/ess/census/default.asp)). The Programme is prepared by the Statistics Division of FAO in collaboration with many experienced agricultural statisticians all over the world (see 'Programme for the World Census of Agriculture 2000'; FAO Statistical Development Series No 5, 1995. [www.fao.org/es/ess/census/agcenp12.asp](http://www.fao.org/es/ess/census/agcenp12.asp)).

The Programme is complemented by practical information on the steps involved in actually conducting an agricultural census (see 'Conducting Agricultural Censuses and Surveys' FAO, 1995 [www.fao.org/es/ess/census/agcensus.asp](http://www.fao.org/es/ess/census/agcensus.asp)).

Other guidance from FAO on conducting agricultural surveys includes:

- *Sampling Methods for Agricultural Surveys*, FAO Statistical Development Series (SDS) No 3 (1989): which presents the foundations of probability sampling theory and the basic concepts involved. It concentrates on sample design, which covers only part of the overall design of agricultural sample surveys. The different sampling methods are discussed, including simple random sampling, stratification, systematic sampling, probability proportional to size sampling, cluster sampling, multi-stage sampling, multi-phase sampling, and area sampling. Also discussed are: sample design issues, such as sample allocation to strata and to different stages of sampling; weighting and sample estimation methods, such as unbiased and ratio estimates; and methods of estimating sampling errors, including replicated methods. Some practical problems involved in designing and conducting sample surveys are also discussed, including frame problems and evaluation of sampling and non-sampling errors;
- *Collecting Data on Livestock*, FAO SDS No 4 (1992): which presents a general framework for livestock statistics within the context of a national agricultural statistics system. Different data-collection methods are discussed, with particular reference to the problems of nomadic livestock. Guidelines for undertaking livestock censuses are also provided. Concepts and definitions for the collection of data on livestock products (meat, milk, eggs, wool and skins) are presented, along with a discussion of statistics on cost of production and feed/fodder;
- *Multiple Frame Agricultural Surveys: Volume 1 and 2*, FAO SDS Nos 7 and 10 (1996 and 1998). National Current Agricultural Survey Programmes, established to obtain reliable and timely baseline data on the agricultural sector, are based on one of three sampling survey methods: list sample designs (commonly farm sampling designs), area sample designs and multiple frame designs. Multiple frame designs are those which combine an area sample with complementary list (farm) samples. Multiple frame sampling methods should constitute the statistical foundation of the National Agricultural Survey Programmes in a larger range of countries, because of their advantages to the traditional farm sampling methods.

Volume 1 is a comprehensive introduction to establishing and conducting area and multiple frame probability sample survey programmes, with a focus on methods and practices applicable in developing countries. It provides a general classification of alternative agricultural survey designs with an indication of their respective advantages and limitations. It examines several aspects that have to be considered to establish and conduct a periodic agricultural survey programme based on multiple frame sampling methods, i.e. the probability selection and estimation methods, the survey organization, the equipment and materials needed, data collection, summarization and processing. The book includes a detailed

description of a category of multiple frame survey designs considered especially useful for developing countries.

Volume 2 presents the area and multiple frame survey methods for Agricultural Survey Programmes currently used in a wide range of countries. It provides actual examples of the application of the survey methods presented in the first volume.

#### **Forest surveys**

The FAO is also the lead organisation collecting data on forestry. The Forestry Department of FAO is undertaking an important programme of support to national forest assessments. Information on this programme, including on the sampling design, intensity, plot configuration and variables to collect, can be found at the following websites:

[www.fao.org/forestry/site/24673/en](http://www.fao.org/forestry/site/24673/en) (overview) and [www.fao.org/forestry/site/3253/en](http://www.fao.org/forestry/site/3253/en) (more detailed info).

The FAO has also produced an on-line Knowledge Reference for forest resource assessments, see [www.fao.org](http://www.fao.org)

Other relevant publications include:

- manual of forest inventory FAO Forestry Paper 27 (FAO, 1981);
- forest volume estimation and yield prediction. FAO Forestry Paper 22/1 and 22/2 (FAO, 1980);
- community forestry: rapid appraisal, Community Forestry Note 3 (FAO, 1999) [www.fao.org/icatalog/search/dett.asp?aries\\_id=6412](http://www.fao.org/icatalog/search/dett.asp?aries_id=6412)

#### **Waste surveys**

In general, industries will have a good idea of the volume and composition of waste that they produce each year, as they often have to pay to have it removed and appropriately treated. Therefore, surveys to industry should result in reliable data on waste generated and its composition. However, this is such a sensitive area that the response rate is often very low and the data may be unreliable.

Much industrial waste is an unavoidable by-product, the type and volume of which is directly proportional to the volume of production, and will depend on the technology used in the production process. Therefore, for each technology type, a waste factor can be produced. Much of the available statistics on industrial waste are the results of models based on these factors together with information on industrial production and the distribution of the main technological processes used in the industry being assessed. A useful source for this is the European Environment Agency's report 'Development and application of waste factors: an overview' see [http://reports.eea.eu.int/technical\\_report\\_37/en](http://reports.eea.eu.int/technical_report_37/en), which provides an overview of waste factors, their derivation and application and experiences in using them, based on reports and available literature. For municipal waste, direct surveys are not the best way to estimate volumes or composition. Their main disadvantage is that they are costly and the respondents often have little idea of the real volume of waste they generate, nor of its composition, resulting in large uncertainties in the resulting figures.

The most common estimation method for municipal waste is simply to weigh a sample of the waste collection vehicles before and after collection, and to gross up to cover the whole population. The sample will need to cover vehicles collecting in a wide range of areas: urban, rural, wealthy, poor, with and without gardens, etc. and covering several periods throughout the year, so that the sample can be seen as representative for the whole population and the whole year. Estimation of the composition of municipal

waste is more complicated. Panels of households can be set up to monitor their waste generation and composition more closely and over time. Panels are basically small samples that remain constant over time, and therefore are well suited to monitor trends. Because the panel will need to be very actively involved in weighing and analyzing the contents of their waste bins, it is often necessary to pay the participants for their input, and this can be a serious limiting factor. Therefore factors for composition are often based on research projects and technical studies carried out in research institutes, sometimes, but not always, at the request of the relevant municipality or ministry.

## Appendix references

European Environment Agency (1999), *Development and Application of Waste Factors: An overview*, Technical report No 37, November 1999 ([reports.eea.eu.int/technical\\_report\\_37/en](http://reports.eea.eu.int/technical_report_37/en)).

Eurostat (1998), *NOSE: Nomenclature for sources of emissions*, Manual, ISBN 92-828-4333-5.

FAO (1998), *Multiple Frame Agricultural Surveys: Volume 2: agricultural survey programmes based on area frame or dual frame (area and list) sample designs*, FAO Statistical Development Series No 10, 1998.

FAO (1996), *Multiple Frame Agricultural Surveys: Volume 1: current surveys based on area and list sampling methods*, FAO Statistical Development Series No 7, 1996.

FAO (1995), *Conducting Agricultural Censuses and Surveys*, FAO Statistical Development Series No 6, Rome, 1995 ([www.fao.org/es/ess/census/agcensus.asp](http://www.fao.org/es/ess/census/agcensus.asp)).

FAO (1995), *Programme for the World Census of Agriculture 2000 (WCA 2000)*, FAO Statistical Development Series No 5, Rome, 1995 ([www.fao.org/es/ess/census/default.asp](http://www.fao.org/es/ess/census/default.asp)).

FAO (1992), *Collecting Data on Livestock*, FAO Statistical Development Series No 4, Rome, 1992.

FAO (1999), *Community Forestry: Rapid appraisal*, Community Forestry Notes, No 3 ([www.fao.org/icatalog/search/dett.asp?aries\\_id=6412](http://www.fao.org/icatalog/search/dett.asp?aries_id=6412))

FAO (1989), *Sampling Methods for Agricultural Surveys*, FAO Statistical Development Series No 3, 1989 ([www.fao.org/ES/ESS/menu3.asp](http://www.fao.org/ES/ESS/menu3.asp))

FAO (1981), *Manual of forest inventory with special reference to mixed tropical forests*, FAO Forestry Paper No 27, Rome, 1981.

IEA (2005), *Energy Statistics Manual*, IEA Publications, Printed September 2004 ([www.iea.org/Textbase/publications/free\\_new\\_Desc.asp?PUBS\\_ID=1461](http://www.iea.org/Textbase/publications/free_new_Desc.asp?PUBS_ID=1461))

United Nations (1991), *Energy Statistics: A Manual for Developing Countries*, Series F, No 56 ([unstats.un.org/unsd/pubs/gesgrid.asp?ID=51](http://unstats.un.org/unsd/pubs/gesgrid.asp?ID=51))

United Nations (1987), *Energy Statistics: Definitions, Units of Measure and Conversion Factors*, Series F, No 44 ([unstats.un.org/unsd/pubs/gesgrid.asp?ID=37](http://unstats.un.org/unsd/pubs/gesgrid.asp?ID=37))

United Nations (1982), *Concepts and Methods in Energy Statistics, with Special Reference to Energy Accounts and Balances*, Series F, No 29 (<http://unstats.un.org/unsd/pubs/gesgrid.asp?ID=20>)