

8th Environment Action Programme

EEA methodology to assess the outlook of meeting the 2030 targets of the 8th EAP monitoring communication of the European Commission

Annex 2 EEA methodology to assess the outlook of meeting the 2030 targets of the 8th EAP monitoring communication of the European Commission

The methodology is specific to the 8th EAP headline indicators and the corresponding 2030 8th EAP targets, which were published in the 8th EAP monitoring framework communication of the European Commission. It does not assess progress towards the priority objectives as such of the 8th EAP Decision.

This methodology addresses only how to assess the outlook of meeting the 8th EAP monitoring targets by 2030 and not how to assess the past trends of the 8th EAP headline indicators.

Key principles

- The assessment is done at the level of each of the 8th EAP headline indicators and of their corresponding 8th EAP monitoring target that should be met by 2030 – see list of indicators and targets in pages 5-8 of the 8th EAP monitoring framework communication.
- 2. The methodology assumes correlation between the trend of the indicator with the prospects of meeting the corresponding target.
- 3. The methodology can assess progress towards both quantitative and qualitative objectives. 70% of the targets in the 8th EAP monitoring framework communication are qualitative.
- 4. The methodology allows a choice of methods to reflect the best available evidence as well as combining methods.

Outlook assessment methodology

- 5. In general, the assessment of the outlook of meeting one of the 28 8th EAP targets outlined in the 8th EAP monitoring framework communication by 2030 is based on some combination of:
- Modelled estimates of future developments (if available). This method takes
 precedence over any other method if the projections are officially reported
 (e.g. legally binding official national projections) and reflect the current policy
 landscape, and the scope and timeframe accurately match those of the indicator.
 - Often these conditions are not fully met, in which case such information is not used alone but combined with other methods.
- Indicator-based trends observed over the previous years. Indicator past trends
 do not reflect the current and foreseeable economic and policy context; they only
 reflect the past context. This method is therefore usually used in combination
 with other methods. As we move closer to 2030 (i.e. in future 8th EAP monitoring
 reports) the more this method will weigh in since significant changes in the context
 will be less likely.

- 'Distance to target' assessments (if available). If a required path is already included in a directive, as in the old renewable energy directive (which prescribed the expected biannual increase of the share of renewable energy sources in gross energy consumption), the distance from that path at a given year determines the assessment of the prospects. It is unclear if any upcoming legislation will prescribe such pathways. More generally, if there is a quantitative target, the comparison of the annual observed growth rate of the latest 10 years (e.g. 2011 2021) and of the required annual growth rate of the remaining years (e.g. 2021-2030) to achieve the target by 2030 will inform the assessment alone or in combination with other relevant information.
- Expert consideration of available knowledge, information and methods. Expert consideration is used to:
 - determine the method or combination of methods, if in doubt; determine the strength of evidence;
 - use additional evidence and information, for instance from studies, impact
 assessments, national plans and programmes, modelling, results from
 other relevant monitoring mechanisms (such as zero pollution, circular
 economy, climate and energy, biodiversity) and interpret this in context of the
 assessment's scope and timeframe;
 - take into account EU policy developments and, if appropriate, the geopolitical
 and socio-economic context. The methodology errs on the side of caution when
 it comes to such considerations. For instance, only EU policy developments that
 reached adoption and for which there is evidence of an expected outcome by
 2030 are usually taken into account.
- 6. Each indicator assessment is quality assured through a consultation process. The process is specific to each indicator and involves in all cases the following experts and networks:
- **EEA experts** several EEA experts review the assessment.
- **Eionet** each indicator is reviewed by the relevant Eionet group(s).
- European Commission and EU agencies each indicator is reviewed by the relevant European Commission services and EU agencies.

Assessment result

7. On the basis of points 1-6 above, the EEA assesses the outlook of meeting the 28 8th EAP targets published in the 8th EAP monitoring framework communication by 2030, by assigning the outlook to one of the following four classes:

Will the objective be met by 2030?		
	On track	There is a high degree of confidence that the objective will be met by 2030
	Likely on track	The objective appears likely to be met by 2030 but there is a low degree of confidence
	Likely off track	The objective appears unlikely to be met by 2030 but there is a low degree of confidence
	Off track	There is a high degree of confidence that the objective will not be met by 2030

Assigning dark green or dark red means there is high degree of certainty over the expected outcome by 2030, i.e. a different outcome would be considered surprising. It requires robust, well-established evidence, preferably numerical, and/or consensus to substantiate the assessment outcome. Examples of such robust evidence include official projections (e.g. legally binding national projections) and results of well accepted studies that can be interpreted in context of the indicator's scope and timeframe.

Assigning light green or orange indicates that the balance of evidence points to a certain direction but with some uncertainty. The methodology errs on the side of caution - i.e. if the level of confidence in the outcome is not very high, light colours are assigned.