

Category		Title
NFR	11.C	Other Sources and Sinks: Wetlands (marshes swamps)
SNAP	110501 110502 110503 110504 110505 110506 110601	Undrained marshes Drained marshes Bogs Fens Swamps Floodplains Lakes
NOSE CODE	301.05.01 301.05.02 301.05.03 301.05.04 301.05.05 301.05.06 301.06.01	
Version	Guidebook 2023	

# Contents

1	Acti	ivities included3				
2	Con	tributions to total emissions3				
(1) (1) (1)	<b>Gen</b> 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	eral4Description4Definitions4Techniques5Emissions5Controls5				
4	Sim	pler methodology5				
5 6		ailed state of the art methodology6 evant activity statistics				
7	Poir	nt source criteria6				
8	Emi	ssion factors, quality codes and references6				
9	Species profiles					
10	Uncertainty estimates7					
11	Weakest aspects/priority areas for improvement in current methodology					
12	Spatial disaggregation criteria8					
13	Ten	nporal disaggregation criteria8				
14	Add	litional comments8				
15	Sup	plementary documents9				
16	Ver	ification procedures9				
17	Ref	erences9				
18	Bibl	liography10				
19	Poir	nt of enquiry11				

# **1** Activities included

This chapter covers emissions of methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and to a lesser extent sulphur produced in naturally saturated soils, in areas either permanently or seasonally flooded with fresh water. Note that this chapter covers shallow lakes (110601), typically defined by depths of less than 2 m, as well as the wetland (1105) SNAP-codes. Lakes of greater than 2 m depth should not generally be treated as wetlands. The chapter does not cover agricultural wetlands such as rice fields, although the biogeochemical processes are the same (see Schütz et al., 1989, for experimental measurements from Italian rice fields).

For emissions of greenhouse gases, users should also refer to the appropriate guidelines developed by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) <u>www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/</u>

The main emission, CH<sub>4</sub>, is produced by anaerobic bacteria (methanogens) in the soil, diffused through soil water and transported to the atmosphere by plants, ebullition, or diffusion. Type of vegetation soil characteristics and local climate are three important factors affecting methane emissions; data about these factors are used to make global and regional estimates.

Natural sulfur gases such as OCS (carbonyl sulfide), DMS (dimethyl sulfide), H<sub>2</sub>S and CS<sub>2</sub> are emitted from brackish wetlands and wetlands with high soil sulfur, usually as the result of microbiological activity, although also partly by chemical reduction of sulfate (some H<sub>2</sub>S) or possibly by algae or other plants (DMS). These gases are only briefly discussed as they are not considered a significant source of pollutant. The bacteria which produce the sulfur gases usually out-compete methanogens, so methane production is inhibited by saline conditions. Brackish marshes have usually been omitted from inventories of methane emissions.

Wetland areas are affected by human management when drained for agriculture or construction, maintained for wildlife habitat or water treatment, or built/converted for water storage and transport such as canals or farm ponds. These changes in area may be estimated if adequate data are available from local sources.

# 2 Contributions to total emissions

Wetlands are estimated to produce about 20 % of the annual global methane emissions. Recent global estimates have been 100–110 Tg ( $10^{12}$  g) per year, with a range of about 50–150 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> emitted per year. These estimates are reviewed in Matthews (1993).

Biogenic sulfur gases emitted from wetlands and soils are estimated to be less than 2 % of the total sulfur budget; 5–12 Tg S per year out of a total of 310 Tg. Less than 10 % of the world's soils are in brackish marsh, so sulfur emissions from saline marshes are on the order of 1–2 Tg; insignificant compared to anthropogenic sources (Warneck, 1988; Andreae, 1984). Early studies which indicated a much larger source of biogenic sulfur gases from wetlands were either not reproduced, or may have been an artifact of the sampling process (see Chin and Davis, 1993, for further discussion).

These activities are not believed to be a significant source of PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

# 3 General

#### 3.1 Description

CH<sub>4</sub> is produced by anaerobic bacteria (methanogens) in the soil, diffused through soil water and transported to the atmosphere by plants, ebullition, or diffusion. Ground water table position, type of vegetation, soil characteristics, available substrates and local climate are all important factors affecting methane emissions. Further, methanogenesis is the final step in the anaerobic degradation chain, requiring organic by-products from other bacteria as food, and emitting methane as a waste (Gujer and Zehnder, 1983). For this reason, methane emission usually requires days to weeks to become significant at the beginning of the season. Methane in turn is a food source for aerobic bacteria called methanotrophs, so it can be oxidised in the aerobic root zone of plants or aerobic layers in soil or water. Approximately 10–40 % of the methane produced in saturated soil is eventually emitted to the atmosphere (see Conrad, 1996, and references therein).

Biogenic sulfur gases are formed during anaerobic decomposition, from chemical reactions with the sulfate ion, and possibly also by some species of marsh vegetation (Patrick and DeLaune, 1977; Warneck, 1988; Chin and Davis, 1993).

#### 3.2 Definitions

Many terms are used to describe naturally occurring flooded areas: wetland, mire, bog, fen, wet tundra, swamp, wet meadow and marsh are among the most common. In common usage the terms are imprecise and sometimes interchangeable. For the purposes of this chapter, the definitions below apply.

<u>Wetland</u> is used as an overall term for any area of permanently or seasonally flooded soils, where soils are saturated long enough for the soil to become reduced, a methanogen population established, and methane emitted from the soil. The types of wetlands are differentiated by their vegetation, which affects the amount of organic substrate available and transport of CH<sub>4</sub>; and by season of flooding or thawing.

The following definitions are derived from Zoltai and Pollet (1983), Aselmann and Crutzen (1989), though a similar scheme was used by Matthews and Fung (1987).

A <u>bog</u> is a peat-forming wetland, usually with mossy vegetation, sometimes with boreal forest, waterlogged from precipitation only.

A <u>fen</u> is a peat-forming wetland with water flowing through the system, usually with grasses and sedges in addition to moss; less acidic than a bog and more productive.

Bogs and fens make up most of the boreal wetlands in tundra ecosystems, though they may be found at other latitudes.

<u>Swamps</u> are forested wetlands, with much less accumulated organic matter than bogs, usually found in temperate or tropical latitudes.

A <u>marsh</u> is a wetland with grass, sedges or reed vegetation.

A <u>flood plain</u> is the area seasonally covered by water along rivers or lakes. They are significant sources of methane principally in South America and Africa.

A <u>shallow lake</u> is a body of water warm enough for methane to be produced in sediment and shallow enough (< 2 m) that methane can diffuse or bubble to the surface. Canals and farm ponds might also be considered in this category as well as natural bodies of water.

The SNAP classifications 'undrained and brackish marshes', and 'drained marshes' are preserved for consistency with previous work, but essentially all marshes which still fit unto the definition of wetland are treated identically in the following.

#### 3.3 Techniques

Methane fluxes from wetlands have commonly been estimated by measuring their accumulation in closed chambers. In the past few years, area estimates from various types of eddy correlation measurements have become more common. Areas of wetlands have been estimated from maps, Gore (1983) for example, and from digitized databases of soils and vegetation. Season of methane emission is usually estimated from local climate data.

#### 3.4 Emissions

Wetlands emit methane, carbon dioxide and biogenic sulfur gases, together with minor quantities of N<sub>2</sub>O and NO. However, methane is the only gas emitted that is globally significant. Biogenic CO<sub>2</sub> is simply recycled (although wetlands do play a role in the global carbon cycle as the amounts of C stored in peatlands are significant — ca 412 Gt of C worldwide; Woodwell et al., 1995). Biogenic sulfur gases are insignificant compared to anthropogenic sulfur emissions.

#### 3.5 Controls

Natural wetlands have commonly been drained in temperate and tropic zones for agriculture, construction and peat harvest. These activities have 'controlled' emissions by destroying the wetlands. Arctic and high latitude boreal wetlands are not drained because the ground is frozen much of the year; no controls appear reasonable.

# **4 Simpler methodology**

Methane emission from wetlands (W<sub>CH4</sub>, in mass units) is estimated by:

$$\mathbf{W}_{CH_4} = \sum_{i}^{7} \left( \mathbf{A}_i \cdot \mathbf{F}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{cf} \right)$$

(01)

where

I = 1, 2, ..., 7 for the 7 wetland types;

A<sub>i</sub> = area in each wetland type;

F<sub>1</sub> = seasonal average flux (in mass/area/time units, usually mg CH<sub>4</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup>);

 $S_i =$  length of the season of methane emission. The season is the time the soil is thawed for boreal and northern temperate wetlands, and the length of time the soil is inundated for flood plains and seasonal marshes and swamps.

cf = appropriate units conversion factor.

# 5 Detailed state of the art methodology

The detailed methodology is essentially the same as the simple methodology. The estimates may be improved by introducing wetland types characterized specifically by country, or using local flux measurements rather than the averaged ones given in section 8 of the present chapter. Any information specific to a country rather than a global database should improve the precision of the estimate.

# **6** Relevant activity statistics

Wetland area data are found in a series of tables in Aselmann and Crutzen, 1989. They show per cent wetland area in 2.5 ° latitude x 5 ° longitude cells. Matthews and Fung (1987) used a different classification scheme and divided their estimate into 1°x 1° cells. Their database is documented by Matthews (1989).

Maps of some wetland areas in Europe may be found in Gore (1983), volume 4A: General Studies, and volume 4B: Regional Studies. Great Britain, Ireland, Finland and Sweden are covered in particular detail. Most of these maps are based on research done in the country of origin.

Local government agencies and researchers may be able to provide rainfall and temperature data to determine seasonality and more precise land use data for wetland areas.

# 7 Point source criteria

All wetland sources are considered area sources.

# 8 Emission factors, quality codes and references

#### Methane

Bartlett and Harriss (1993) did a thorough review of flux measurements from wetlands and shallow lakes for the purpose of making global estimates. The following table is adapted from their work. They combined measurements from fens and bogs.

Climate zone	Flux by wetlands type (mgm <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )							
	Bogs	Fens	Marsh	Swamp	Flood-plain	Shallow Lakes		
Arctic	96	96						
Boreal	87	87	87	87		35		
Temperate	135	135	70	75	48	60		
Tropical	199	199	233	165	182	148		

The climate zones are arctic:  $60-90^{\circ}$  latitude; boreal:  $45-60^{\circ}$  latitude; temperate:  $20-45^{\circ}$  latitude; tropical:  $0-20^{\circ}$  latitude. These climate zones apply best to the American continents, as most of the northern

hemisphere studies are from Canada and the U.S., and most southern hemisphere studies were done in Brazil.

#### **Biogenic sulphur gases**

Steudler and Peterson estimated a total annual emission of 5.8 g S m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in a study which measured all principal biogenic sulfur gases emitted from a brackish marsh over the period of a year.

## **9** Species profiles

### **10 Uncertainty estimates**

The data quality for making an estimate of methane emissions from wetlands is moderate (D rating).

Wetland flux estimates are probably the greatest source of uncertainty in making global estimates of methane. Although there are measurements in all wetland types from the principal wetland areas, fluxes may vary over several orders of magnitude at a single site. Inter-annual variation of seasonal averages can vary as much as an order of magnitude. Most boreal and temperate zone flux measurements have been made in North America and Scandinavia, and most tropical zone measurements have been made in Central and South America. Since there are few or no other measurements of methane flux from other parts of the world, the uncertainty of using the available measurements cannot be calculated, but may be large. Measurements of methane flux in Europe have, however, fit in the range of other boreal and high temperate zone measurements.

The estimated areas of wetlands may differ greatly depending on the underlying vegetation databases. The differences in area estimates between Matthews and Fung (1987) and Aselmann and Crutzen (1989) are discussed at length in the latter paper and in Bartlett and Harris (1993). Their total areas are very, close but their distribution differs greatly, particularly in the tropics. Their estimates of total area for the northern hemisphere temperate and boreal zones are very close, but their vegetation classes are not strictly comparable.

The flux estimates for biogenic sulfur gases is poorer (an E rating). There are few measurements of all sulfur gases and the measured emissions are extremely variable.

The comments on the uncertainties of flux measurements of methane also apply to the biogenic sulfur gases. Additional variability is due to flux which varies with the tide (H<sub>2</sub>S), or with daylight (DMS). Since not all researchers have measured all gases, it is difficult to get a total sulfur estimate. Since there is still possible contamination of the samples during measurement for the earlier data, there can be four orders of magnitude difference between measurements made in the same area by different researchers.

# 11 Weakest aspects/priority areas for improvement in current methodology

As noted in section 10 of the present chapter, the emissions flux estimates are probably the greatest source of uncertainty. Additionally, linking flux estimates to wetland classification is an important problem. As it is not known exactly which parameters affect flux, then it is difficult to devise good parameterisation schemes. A further problem arises from differences in techniques used in measuring fluxes — such factors may explain some of the variability found of measurements.

Development of better techniques for remote sensing and evaluation is probably an essential component of inventory improvement.

# 12 Spatial disaggregation criteria

Methane emissions are estimated by the different types of wetlands defined in subsection 3.2 of the present chapter.

# 13 Temporal disaggregation criteria

Methane emissions vary seasonally, usually following soil temperature, plant growing season or saturation season, though exceptions may be found (Svensson and Rosswall, 1984; Whalen and Reeburgh, 1992; Westermann, 1993). For example, in the high northern latitudes, wetlands are usually classified as bogs, forested bogs, and fens with maximum emissions from June to September. Methane emission increases when soil temperature increases above 0 degrees, but has been measured at very low levels from frozen soil. Seasonal wetlands such as flood plains will only emit methane during the wet season, and methane emissions vary within wetlands along moisture gradients (Svensson, 1976; Moore et al., 1990; Granberg et al., 1997). Dry, aerated soils are usually sinks of methane; drought or other change in water table may cause a source area to become a sink (Harriss et al., 1982, Whalen et al., 1991, Oechel, 1993).

All fluxes given in section 8 of the present chapter are averaged diurnally and seasonally.

# **14 Additional comments**

Cao et al. (1996) and Christensen et al. (1996) have modeled the carbon system and methane emissions from wetlands. This type of model is considerably more complicated, but allows modeling changes of methane emissions due to changes in climate. At present, these models are validated against global estimates using measured fluxes (Matthews and Fung, 1987; Aselmann and Crutzen, 1989; Bartlett and Harris, 1993). The models are not yet generally available.

# **15 Supplementary documents**

# **16 Verification procedures**

# **17 References**

Andreae, M.O. (1984). The emission of sulfur to the remote atmosphere: Background paper'. In: *The Biogeochemical Cycling of Sulfur and Nitrogen in the Remote Atmosphere*. J.N. Galloway, R.J. Charlson, M.O. Andreae, and H. Rodhe, Eds. Nato ASI Series C, Vol. 159, D. Reidel, Holland.

Aselmann, I. and P.J. Crutzen (1989). 'Global distribution of natural freshwater wetlands and rice paddies, their net primary productivity, seasonality, and possible methane emissions', *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry* 8, pp. 307–358.

Bartlett, K.B. and R.C. Harriss (1993). 'Review and assessment of methane emissions from wetlands', *Chemosphere* 26 pp. 261–320.

Cao, M., S. Marshall, and K. Gregson (1996). 'Global carbon exchange and methane emissions from natural wetlands: Application of a process based model', *Journal of Geophysical Research* 101, pp. 14399–14414.

Christensen, T.R., I.C. Prentice, J. Kaplan, A. Haxeltine and S. Stich (1996). 'Methane flux from northern wetlands and tundra, an ecosystem modeling approach'. *Tellus* 48B, pp. 652–661.

Chin, M. and D.D. Davis (1993). 'Global sources and sinks of OCS and CS<sub>2</sub> and their distributions', *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 7 pp 321–337.

Conrad, R (1996). 'Soil microorganisms as controllers of atmospheric trace gases (H<sub>2</sub>, CO, CH<sub>4</sub>, OCS, N<sub>2</sub>O, and NO)', *Microbiological Reviews* 60, pp. 609–640.

Gore, A.J.P (1983). Introduction. In: *Ecosystems of the World 4A, Mires: Swamp, Bog, Fen, and Moor, General Studies*. A.J.P. Gore, Ed. Elsevier Scientific Publ. Co.

Granberg, G., C. Mikkelä, I. Sundh, B.H. Svensson, and M. Nilsson (1997). 'Sources of spatial variation in methane emission from mires in northern Sweden: A mechanistic approach in statistical modeling', *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 11, pp. 135–150.

Matthews, E. and I. Fung (1987). 'Methane emission from natural wetlands: Global distribution, area, and environmental characteristics of sources', *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 1, pp. 61–86.

Matthews, E. Global Databases on distribution, characteristics and methane emission of natural wetlands: documentation of archived data tape. NASA Technical Memorandum 4153, 1989.

Matthews, E. (1993). 'Wetlands'. In: *Atmospheric Methane: Sources, Sinks, and Role in Global Change*. M.A.K. Khalil, Ed. NATO ASI Series: Global Environmental Change, Vol. 13, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

Moore, T., N. Roulet, and R. Knowles (1990). 'Spatial and temporal variations of methane flux from subarctic/northern boreal fens', *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 4, pp. 29–46.

Oechel, W. C., et al. (1993). 'Recent change of Arctic tundra ecosystems from a net carbon dioxide sink to a source', *Nature* 361, pp. 520–523.

Patrick, W.H. Jr. and R.D. DeLaune (1977). 'Chemical and biological redox systems affecting nutrient availability in the coastal wetlands', *Geoscience and Man* 18, pp. 131–137.

Schütz, H., A. Holzapfel-Pschorn, R. Conrad, H. Rennenberg, and W. Seiler (1989). 'A 3-year continuous record on the influence of daytime, season, and fertilizer treatment on methane emission rates from an Italian rice paddy', *Journal of Geophysical Research* 94(D13), pp. 16405–16416.

Steudler, P.A. and B.J. Peterson (1985). 'Annual cycle of gaseous sulfur emissions from a New England *Spartina alterniflora* marsh', *Atmospheric Environment* 9, pp. 1411–1416.

Svensson, B.H. Methane production in tundra peat (1976). *Microbial Production and Utilization of Gases (H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, CO)*. H.G. Schlegel, G. Gottschalk, N. Pfennig and E. Goltze, editors (Gottingen). p. 135–139.

Warneck, P (1988). *Chemistry of the Natural Atmosphere*. International Geophysics Series, Vol. 41, Academic Press Inc., USA. pp. 498–505; 540–542.

Whalen, S.C., W.S. Reeburgh, and K.S. Kizer (1991). 'Methane consumption and emission by taiga', *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 5, pp. 261–273.

Zoltai, C.S. and Pollett, F.C. (1983). 'Wetlands in Canada'. In: *Ecosystems of the World*. Vol. 4B, Mires: Swamp, Bog, Fen, and Moor. A.J.P. Gore (ed). Elsevier Scientific Publication, New York, NY, pp. 245–268.

# **18 Bibliography**

Bartlett, K.B., R.C. Harriss, and D.I. Sebacher (1985). 'Methane flux from coastal salt marshes', *Journal of Geophysical Research* 90, pp. 5710–5720.

Botch, M.S. and V.V. Masing (1983). 'Mire ecosystems in the U.S.S.R'. In: *Ecosystems of the World 4B, Mires: Swamp, Bog, Fen, and Moor, Regional Studies*. A.J.P. Gore, Ed. Elsevier Scientific Publication, Co., Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Gujer, W. and Zehnder, A.J.B. (1983). 'Conversion processes in anaerobic digestion', *Water, Science and Technology*, 15, pp. 127–167.

Clymo, R.S. and E.F.J Reddaway (1971). 'Productivity of *Sphagnum* (Bog-moss) and peat accumulation', *Hidrobiologia* 12, pp. 181–192.

Freeman, C., M.A. Lock and B. Reynolds (1993). 'Fluxes of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O from a Welsh peatland following simulation of water table draw-down: potential feedback to climate change', *Biogeochemistry* 14, pp. 51–60.

Gallagher, M.W., T.W. Choularton, K.N. Bower, I.M. Stromberg, K.M. Beswick, D. Fowler, K.J. Hargreaves (1994). 'Measurement of methane fluxes on the landscape scale from a wetland area in north Scotland', *Atmospheric Environment* 28, pp. 2421–2430.

Holzapfel-Pschorn, A., R. Conrad, W. Seiler (1985). 'Production, oxidation and emission of methane in rice paddies', *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 31, pp. 343–351.

Jørgensen, B.B. and B. Okholm-Hansen (1985). 'Emissions of sulfur gases from a Danish estuary', *Atmospheric Environment* 19, pp. 1737–1749.

Patrick, W.H. Jr. and R.D. DeLaune (1977). 'Chemical and biological redox systems affecting nutrient availability in the coastal wetlands', *Geoscience and Man* 18, pp. 131–137.

Ruuhijärvi, R. (1983). The Finnish mire types and their regional distribution'. In: *Ecosystems of the World 4B, Mires: Swamp, Bog, Fen, and Moor, Regional Studies.* A.J.P. Gore, Ed. Elsevier Scientific Publication, Co., Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Sebacher, D.I., R.C. Harriss, and K.B. Bartlett (1985). 'Methane emissions to the atmosphere through aquatic plants', *Journal of Environmental Quality* 14, pp. 40–46.

Sundh, I., M. Nilsson, G. Granberg, and B.H. Svensson (1994). 'Depth distribution of microbial production and oxidation of methane in northern boreal peatlands', *Microbial Ecology* 27, pp. 253–265.

Svensson, B.H (1976). 'Methane production in tundra peat', *Microbial Production and Utilization of Gases (H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, CO)*. H.G. Schlegel, G. Gottschalk, N. Pfennig and E. Goltze, editors (Gottingen). Pp. 135–139.

Svensson, B.H. and T. Rosswall (1984). 'In situ methane production from acid peat in plant communities with different moisture regimes in a subarctic mire', *Oikos* 43, pp. 341–350.

Westermann, P. and B.K. Ahring. 'Dynamics of methane production, sulfate reduction, and denitrification in a permanently waterlogged alder swamp', *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 53, pp. 2554–2559.

Westermann, P. (1993). 'Temperature regulation of methanogenesis in wetlands', *Chemosphere* 26, pp. 321–328.

Whalen, S.C. and W.S. Reeburgh (1992). 'Interannual variations in tundra methane emission: A 4-year time series at fixed sites', *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 6, pp. 139–159.

Woodewell, G.M., MacKenzie, F.T., Houghton, R.A., Apps, M.J., Gorham, E., Davidson, E.A. 1995. 'Will the warming speed the warming?' In: *Biotic Feedbacks in the Global Climatic System*.

Woodwell, G.M. and MacKenzie, F.T. (eds.) Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, pp. 393–411.

# **19 Point of enquiry**

Enquiries concerning this chapter should be directed to the relevant leader(s) of the Task Force on Emission Inventories and Projection's expert panel on Agriculture and Nature. Please refer to the TFEIP website (www.tfeip-secretariat.org/) for the contact details of the current expert panel leaders.