10 messages for 2010 Protected areas













Cover design: EEA Cover photo © Gordon McInnes Left photo © EEA Right photo © Stock.xchng Layout: Pia Schmidt/EEA

#### Legal notice

The contents of this publication do not necessarily reflect the official opinions of the European Commission or other institutions of the European Union. Neither the European Environment Agency nor any person or company acting on behalf of the Agency is responsible for the use that may be made of the information contained in this report.

#### Copyright notice

© EEA, Copenhagen, 2010 Reproduction is authorised, provided the source is acknowledged, save where otherwise stated.

Information about the European Union is available on the Internet. It can be accessed through the Europa server (www.europa.eu).

Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Union, 2010

ISBN 978-92-9213-149-4 doi:10.2800/56932

#### Acknowledgments

The message was written by Marcus Zisenis (ECNC, ETC/BD), with contributions from the ETC/BD by Dominique Richard/MNHN, Sophie Condé/MNHN, Brian Mac Sharry/DEHLG, Otars Opermanis/MNHN, Zelmira Sipkova/MNHN.

Valuable comments were received from the Eionet National Reference Centre (NRC) for Biodiversity as well as from Elena Cebrian Calvo, Mette Lund, Ivone Pereira Martins, Carlos Romao, Ahmet Uludag at EEA.

The EEA project manager of the '10 messages for 2010' is Frederik Schutyser.



European Environment Agency Kongens Nytorv 6 1050 Copenhagen K Denmark Tel.: +45 33 36 71 00 Fax: +45 33 36 71 99 Web: eea.europa.eu Enquiries: eea.europa.eu/enquiries

### 10 messages for 2010 Protected areas

This document is the 2nd in a series of assessments under the title '10 messages for 2010'. Each message provides a short assessment focusing on a specific ecosystem or issue related to biodiversity in Europe. The remaining messages will be published at various intervals throughout 2010. More detailed information on the published and upcoming messages can be found at www.eea.europa.eu/publications/10-messages-for-2010.



European Environment Agency

### **Protected areas**

#### Key messages

- Protected areas provide a wide range of services in a context of increasing pressures and a rapidly changing environment.
- Europe is the region with the greatest number of protected areas in the world but they are relatively small in size.
- Europe's unique Natura 2000 network of protected areas and the Emerald network currently under development aim to catalyse biodiversity conservation in Europe and ensure that protected areas can contribute fully to maintaining healthy ecosystems in the wider landscape.

#### Protected areas provide a wide range of services in a context of increasing pressures and a rapidly changing environment

In addition to their critical role in biodiversity conservation, European protected areas are important for many other reasons. Covering a wide range of ecosystems, including forests, grasslands, wetlands, peat lands, mountain, coastal and marine areas, protected areas ensure the continued flow of ecosystem services. These include providing clean water, protecting soil resources, capturing and storing carbon, and acting as a reservoir of genetic resources.

Further to these ecological values, the Convention on Biological Diversity recognises that protected areas also 'provide opportunities for rural development and rational use of marginal lands, generating income and creating jobs, for research and monitoring, for conservation education, and for recreation and tourism' (CBD, 1992).

Increasingly, protected areas, particularly those listed in IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature) categories IV, V and VI (Dudley, 2008), are valued as areas where sustainable resource use and rural development practices can be tested in partnership with a wide range of stakeholders. Figure 1 summarises the different values that can be derived from protected areas. The socio-economic benefits of protected areas have recently been demonstrated by the TEEB process (The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity process) (TEEB, 2009).

#### Europe accounts for the largest number of protected areas in the world but they are relatively small in size

According to IUCN's revised definition, a protected area is a 'clearly defined geographical space, recognised, dedicated and managed, through legal or other effective means, to achieve the long-term conservation of nature with associated ecosystem services and cultural values' (Dudley, 2008). The conclusions of the Third Biodiversity in Europe Conference (CBD, 2004) emphasised the importance of protected areas and ecological networks to achieving the 2010 target (EC, 2006) and the objectives of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD).

With more than 100 000 sites designated in 54 countries, Europe accounts for more protected areas than any other region. To some extent this reflects the high pressure on land use arising from



#### Figure 1 Ecological, social and socio-economic values of protected areas

Source: Adapted from CREDOC, 2008; and Stolton, 2009.

agriculture, transport and urban development in Europe. Protected areas have long been the only way to preserve remarkable natural assets from adverse land use.

The size of Europe's designated areas varies greatly, ranging from an individual tree, such as the Kačja smreka in Godovic, Slovenia, to over 97 million hectares (ha) for a site in Greenland. Ninety per cent of sites are less than 1 000 ha, however.

The aims of designation also vary, ranging from strict protection of a natural area (national parks, nature reserves) to regulating human activities (hunting reserves, landscape protection and regulated forest management). While strict nature reserves classified as IUCN category I are mostly situated in northern European countries (principally Scandinavia) as well as in the Russian Federation, most nationally designated sites in Europe are classified as IUCN category V ('Protected Landscape/Seascape') and VI ('Managed Resource Protected Area') (Map 1). International and European regulations on nature conservation and biodiversity have encouraged countries to cooperate and coordinate actions to protect sites of international importance. Various networks of protected sites have been established as a result, including Ramsar sites (Ramsar Convention, 1987) for wetlands of international importance, World Heritage sites (World Heritage Convention, 1972) and biosphere reserves (MAB, 2010). Each network serves a specific purpose but they complement each another in pursuing the central aim of preserving biodiversity.

# International European networks of protected areas catalyse biodiversity conservation

The EU Birds Directive (EC, 1979) and Habitats Directive (EC, 1992) have considerably modified the context and approach to protected areas in the 27 EU Member States by committing countries to set up a 'coherent European ecological network of protected areas', named Natura 2000.



# Map 1 Distribution of nationally protected sites (CDDA) in Europe according to their IUCN category classification

 

 sites in Europe

 IA (a)

 IB (b)

 II (c)

 III (d)

 IV (e)

 V (f)

 VI (a)

 No data/ outside coverage

IUCN categories of nationally designated

Note: Nearly 50 % of Spanish sites do not have any IUCN category.

- (a) Strict Nature Reserve: protected area managed mainly for science.
- (b) Strict Nature Reserve: protected area managed mainly for wilderness protection.
- (<sup>c</sup>) National Park: protected area managed mainly for ecosystem protection and recreation.
- (d) Natural Monument: protected area managed mainly for conservation of specific natural features.
- (e) Habitat/Species Management Area: protected area managed mainly for conservation through management intervention.
- (f) Protected Landscape/Seascape: protected area managed mainly for landscape/seascape conservation and recreation.
- (<sup>g</sup>) Managed Resource Protected Area: protected area managed mainly for the sustainable use of natural ecosystems.

Source: European Topic Centre on Biological Diversity (ETC/BD) and Eionet, 2009.

As a mirror initiative to Natura 2000, the Council of Europe in 1996 launched the Emerald Network, which is based on the same principles as the legally binding Natura 2000 network within the European Union. It extends the strategic transnational approach of a coherent network of Areas of Special Conservation Interest to non-EU Member States (CoE, 2009).

The Birds Directive requires EU Member States to designate 'Special Protection Areas' and institute appropriate conservation measures in areas of



#### Map 2 Distribution of Natura 2000 sites across the 27 EU Member States

Note: To date, Natura 2000 is a unique international network comprising 22 419 Sites of Community Importance (SCIs) under the Habitat Directive and 5 242 Special Protection Areas (SPAs) under the Birds Directive (EC, 2009a). Therefore, overall more than 17 % of EU territory is designated as Natura 2000 sites (EC, 2009b). The marine part of the network is still in development. Currently, only about 6 % of SCIs and 10 % of SPAs are marine sites.

Source: European Topic Centre on Biological Diversity (ETC/BD), 2009.

crucial importance for the survival of 193 bird species (listed in Annex I of the Directive) and regularly occurring migratory species.

The Habitats Directive involves a transnational, concerted approach to the conservation of approximately 1 180 species and 231 habitats of special European concern listed in the EU Habitats Directive, taking into account biogeographical characteristics within nine biogeographical regions (Alpine, Atlantic, Black Sea, Boreal, Continental, Macaronesian, Mediterranean, Pannonian and Steppic). Natura 2000 exemplifies the creation of an ecological network across the EU (Map 2). By involving a huge number of stakeholders — owners and occupiers of land, local, national and European authorities — across all sectors, the Natura 2000 network aims to ensure biodiversity conservation beyond national boundaries, while maintaining dynamic rural areas. The principle of collaboration is enshrined in the Habitats Directive, which requires that conservation measures 'take account of the economic, social and cultural requirements and the regional and local characteristics of the area'.

#### Figure 2 Percentage of Natura 2000 site area not protected under national instruments per EU Member State



% of Natura 2000 site area not designated under national designations

Source: European Topic Centre on Biological Diversity (ETC/BD), 2009.

There is, of course, a certain degree of overlap between national, international and EU designations. The implementation of the Natura 2000 network has in general significantly increased the area dedicated to conservation measures across the European Union. For several new EU countries, such as Latvia, Estonia and Malta, there is a very large overlap between Natura 2000 sites and national designations, as accession countries were required to integrate EU legislation into their national system. In countries such as Belgium, France, Greece, Hungary, Italy, Portugal, Romania, Slovenia and Spain, more than 60 % of the surface area now designated as Natura 2000 is not covered by a national instrument (Figure 2). This is partly explained by the fact that some countries, such as France, have chosen contractual means rather than legal instruments to implement Natura 2000 at national level.

Natura 2000 has not only increased considerably the surface area protected through conservation measures but has also improved the quality of these measures. Given that Natura 2000 is still a young network and that monitoring measures are still at an early stage, however, it is not yet possible to assess properly its full effectiveness in conserving biodiversity.

# Europe's green infrastructure beyond 2010

Europe is facing rapid environmental changes driven by increasing competition for land from housing development, transport and energy infrastructure, agriculture and forestry; overexploitation of natural resources; pollution; and climate change. In this context, European protected areas are essential for healthy, functioning and resilient ecosystems.

Europe has made significant progress in applying conservation measures on land, in keeping with the target in CBD decision VII/30, whereby at least 10 % of each of the world's ecological regions should be effectively conserved by 2010.

**Note:** For some countries CDDA boundaries were not available or incomplete. Figures for Denmark and the Netherlands may be overestimated due to large marine Natura 2000 sites.

Progress in the marine environment is less encouraging. The target in CBD decision VII/28 of establishing by 2012 'a global network of comprehensive, representative and effectively managed national and regional protected areas' remains a considerable challenge and requires considerable concerted efforts from countries, also at European level.

To be efficient, the current network of protected areas in Europe needs to be supported by sectoral policies and planning instruments that allow a fully integrated and sustainable ecosystem management approach. Halting Europe's biodiversity loss will require efforts to reduce pressures on biodiversity and improve the size, management and connectivity of patchworks of habitats and ecosystems in Europe — establishing a spatially coherent network of designated sites.

At the same time, climate change means that establishing corridors for species to move between 'islands of biodiversity' though very relevant, is no longer sufficient. Biodiversity conservation must be integrated into territorial planning to maintain our green infrastructure and ensure that protected areas can contribute fully to maintaining the health of ecosystems in the wider landscape.

## **Further reading**

CBD, 1992. *Convention on Biological Diversity*. Available at: http://www.cbd.int/doc/legal/cbd-unen.pdf [Accessed 11 January 2010].

CBD, 2004. Third Biodiversity in Europe Conference, Madrid, Spain, 19–21 January 2004, Conclusions of the Chairman. Available at: www.cbd.int/doc/meetings/ cop/cop-07/information/cop-07-inf-35-en.doc [Accessed 11 January 2010].

CBD decision VII/28, 2004. Decision of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity at its Seventh Meeting: Protected Areas (Articles 8 (a) to (e)). Available at: http://www.cbd.int/doc/decisions/ cop-07/cop-07-dec-28-en.pdf [Accessed 11 January 2010].

CBD decision VII/30, 2004. Decision of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity at its Seventh Meeting: Strategic Plan: future evaluation progress. Available at: http://www.cbd.int/doc/ decisions/cop-07/cop-07-dec-30-en.pdf [Accessed 11 January 2010].

CREDOC, 2008. *La valeur économique et sociale des espaces protégés*. Cahier de recherche n°247, Novembre 2008, Paris. Available at: http://www.credoc.fr/pdf/Rech/C247.pdf [Accessed 11 January 2010].

CoE, 2009. The Emerald Network. Network of areas of special conservation interest. Council of Europe, Strasbourg. Available at: http://www.coe.int/t/dg4/ cultureheritage/nature/econetworks/Presentation\_ en.asp [Accessed 11 January 2010].

Dudley, N. (ed.), 2008. *Guidelines for Applying Protected Area Management Categories*. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland. Available at: http://data.iucn.org/dbtwwpd/edocs/PAPS-016.pdf [Accessed 11 January 2010].

EC, 1979. Council Directive 79/409/EEC of the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 103, 1979, p. 1–18). European Commission, Brussels. Available at: http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ. do?uri=CELEX:31979L0409:EN:NOT [Accessed 11 January 2010].

EC, 1992. Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7). European Commission, Brussels. Available at: http://eur-lex. europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CONSLE G:1992L0043:20070101:EN:PDF [Accessed 11 January 2010].

EC, 2006. EU Biodiversity Action Plan. Halting the loss of Biodiversity by 2010 – and beyond. Sustaining ecosystem services for human well-being. European Commission, Brussels. Available at: http://ec.europa. eu/environment/nature/biodiversity/comm2006/ index\_en.htm [Accessed 11 January 2010].

EC, 2009a. Natura 2000. European Commission DG Env Nature Newsletter. European Commission, Brussels. Available at: http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/ info/pubs/docs/nat2000newsl/nat27\_en.pdf [Accessed 21 January 2010].

EC, 2009b. Report from the Commission to the Council and the European Parliament. Composite Report on the Conservation Status of Habitat Types and Species as required under Article 17 of the Habitats Directive. COM(2009) 358 final. European Commission, Brussels. Available at: http://ec.europa.eu/ environment/nature/knowledge/rep\_habitats/docs/ com\_2009\_358\_en.pdf [Accessed 11 January 2010].

European Topic Centre on Biological Diversity (ETC/BD), 2009. *Percentage of Natura 2000 site area not protected under national instruments per EU Member State. European Topic Centre on Biological Diversity.* Available at: www.eea.europa.eu/dataand-maps/figures/percentage-of-natura-2000-sitearea-not-protected-under-national-instrumentsper-eu-member-state [Accessed January 2009].

European Topic Centre on Biological Diversity (ETC/BD) and Eionet, 2009. *Distribution of nationally protected sites (CDDA) in Europe according to their IUCN category classification*. Available at: www.eea. europa.eu/data-and-maps/figures/distributionof-nationally-protected-sites-cdda-in-europeaccording-to-their-iucn-category-classification [Accessed January 2009]. MAB, 2010. *Biosphere reserves* — *world network. UNESCO's Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme.* Available at: http://www.unesco.org/mab/doc/brs/ BRList2010.pdf [Accessed August 2010].

Ramsar Convention, 1987. *Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat*. Ramsar (Iran), 2 February 1971. UN Treaty Series No. 14583. As amended by the Paris Protocol, 3 December 1982, and Regina Amendments, 28 May 1987. Available at: www.ramsar.org/cda/en/ramsardocuments-texts/main/ramsar/1-31-38\_4000\_0\_\_\_\_ [Accessed January 2009].

Stolton, S. (ed.), 2009. Communicating values and benefits of protected areas in Europe. Results of a seminar organised by BfN and EUROPARC federation at the International Academy for Nature Conservation on the Island of Wilm, Germany. BfN and Europarc, Vilm, Germany. Available at: www.bfn.de/fileadmin/MDB/ documents/ina/vortraege/2009-Report-values-andbenefits.pdf [Accessed 11 January 2010].

TEEB, 2009. The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity for National and International Policy Makers. Chapter 8 Recognising the value of protected areas. TEEB, Bonn. Available at: www. teebweb.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=jYXVt7xjLE%3d&tabid=1019&language=en-US [Accessed 21 January 2010].

World Heritage Convention, 1972. *Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage*. Adopted by the General Conference at its seventeenth session Paris, 16 November 1972. Available at: http://whc.unesco. org/archive/convention-en.pdf [Accessed January 2009].

TH-30-10-530-EN-N doi:10.2800/56932



European Environment Agency Kongens Nytorv 6 1050 Copenhagen K Denmark

Tel.: +45 33 36 71 00 Fax: +45 33 36 71 99

Web: eea.europa.eu Enquiries: eea.europa.eu/enquiries







European Environment Agency

