

EN13 Nuclear Waste Production

Rationale

Nuclear power is responsible for a steady accumulation of radioactive waste that poses a potential threat to the environment. The quantity of spent nuclear fuel produced provides a reliable representation of the accumulation of radioactive waste and its evolution over time.

Key message

The amount of highly radioactive waste from nuclear power production continues to accumulate and a generally acceptable disposal route for this waste has yet to be identified. The related potential health and environmental risks, as well as issues surrounding nuclear proliferation, therefore continue to be a cause for concern.

Fig. 1: Annual quantities of spent fuel from nuclear power plants in EU countries between 1990 and 2002



Data source: OECD, 2004; NEA, 2005

Notes: The vast majority of highly radioactive waste consists of spent fuel and spent fuel reprocessing wastes. No data (on quantities of spent fuel from nuclear electricity production are available for Lithuania or Slovenia, and limited time series data are available for the Czech Republic and Hungary prior to 1995 and Slovakia prior to 1999.

1. Indicator assessment

Thirteen Member States¹ produce electricity from nuclear power. In addition, the acceding countries of Bulgaria and Romania also have nuclear power. Together these countries have 156 nuclear reactors in operation, with a further one under construction in Romania and another due to start construction in Finland. A number of countries also have proposals for new nuclear plants, although it is not clear how likely these are to be built. Lithuania has the world's highest share of nuclear electricity production within national electricity production (79.8 % in 2002) and France (78.2 %), Belgium (57.7 %), Slovakia (55.4 %), Bulgaria (47.4 %) and Sweden (46.3 %) also have a high contribution from nuclear power. Italy phased out commercial nuclear power in 1987.

Quantities of spent fuel produced in the EU remained at its 1990-levels in the year 2003. This amount is governed mainly by the quantity of electricity produced by nuclear power plants as well as efficiency improvements within existing plants (NEA, 2003). But even stable or decreasing annual quantities of waste imply that the accumulated quantity of waste will continue increasing. Work is ongoing to try to establish final-disposal methods that alleviate technical and public concerns over the potential threat that this waste poses to the environment. In the meantime, the waste accumulates in stores. The European Commission therefore suggested more support for research and development on nuclear waste management in its proposal for a sustainable development strategy (EC, 2001) and proposed a directive on the management of nuclear waste (EC, 2004c; EC, 2002e).

While in most Member States spent fuel production was fairly stable over that period, totalling around 2 200 tonnes of heavy metal (tHM) per year², it fluctuated strongly in the United Kingdom (between 650 and approximately 1 700 tHM per year). This was caused partly by variations in electricity production from UK nuclear plant. However, the large peaks are linked to decommissioning of a number of older nuclear power plants (for example decommissioning commenced at Berkley in 1989 and Trawsfynydd in 1993). During normal operations only a fraction of a reactor core is refuelled each year and the spent fuel removed, but during decommissioning the reactor is fully defuelled.

Long-term trends in the production of radioactive waste from nuclear power plants and thus the accumulated amount of radioactive waste depend on the future use of nuclear power. Decommissioning nuclear plants is becoming an increasingly important objective in some Member States while others are re-considering the nuclear power option in the context of climate change and energy security. There is also an on-going debate on the costs of production of electricity in nuclear power plants, which is still seen as an expensive option in the context of a liberalised market.

Public concern about environmental and safety considerations has led to plans to phase out nuclear power in certain Member States (such as Germany, the Netherlands, Spain, Sweden and Belgium), with some others either declaring or considering moratoria on the building of new nuclear plants. In Germany, a Parliament decision was taken in 2001 to phase out nuclear power. Following a referendum in 1980 in Sweden a parliament decision was taken in 1997 to close the two Barsebäck nuclear reactors. The closure of the second reactor was postponed since the decided conditions about new energy sources and safety of supply were not met on time. Nevertheless, the remaining operational reactor at Barsebäck nuclear power station closed in May 2005. In Slovakia, the government has expressed its unwillingness to issue state guarantees for the Mochovce 3 and 4 reactors, which has put their completion on hold and Poland has halted the construction of its nuclear reactor after the fall of the communist regime and it is not planning to complete it.

On the other hand, some Member States are currently discussing the construction of new nuclear capacity. In Finland and in France, the process of building additional capacity, based primarily on new nuclear designs such as the European Pressurised Water Reactor (EPR) and Westinghouse Advanced Passive technology, is ongoing: the Finnish reactor of Olkiluoto 3, is being constructed for a 2010 start-up while in France, procedure for the construction of an EPR on the site of Flamanville is being initiated, for a start-up planned in 2012. In the accession country Romania, the Cernavoda 2 reactor is due to be completed in 2007.

In terms of nuclear waste, additional capacity might increase the quantity of spent nuclear fuel. Meanwhile, the new generation of reactors is likely to lead to lower levels of waste per unit of electricity production compared to existing plants. During the period to 2010, the amount of spent fuel produced is expected to decrease at an average rate of 1.7 % per year (OECD, 2003). This projected decrease is predominantly due to a projected decrease in nuclear electricity production (see also EEA, 2005), combined with small improvements in the quantity of electricity produced per unit of nuclear fuel as there is little room for improving the efficiency with which the heat generated is converted into electricity in existing plants. In the longer term, waste production (per unit of electricity produced) is expected to fall as a result of improved design of the next generation of nuclear reactors and fuel rods, allowing a larger fraction of the fissile material in the fuel to be used before the rods are replaced.

¹Belgium, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Lithuania, the Netherlands, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom² This excludes Lithuania and Slovenia for which no data is available. However, in 2002 their combined share of EU electricity production from

nuclear power was only 2.0 %; therefore the effect on overall volumes of waste is likely to be small.



2. Indicator rationale

2.1 Environmental context

Nuclear waste production is a pressure indicator. The annual and accumulated amount of nuclear waste and its development give a broad indication of associated potential environmental and health risks. Storage and final disposal of spent fuel and other nuclear waste poses a potential risk of quantities of radiation being released to the environment (to the atmosphere and/or land and/or water). The release of radioactivity to the environment can result in acute or chronic impacts that, in extreme cases, can cause loss of biota in the short term and genetic mutation in the longer term, both of which may result in unknown and potentially fatal effects. Increased levels of radioactivity can also be passed up through the food chain and affect human food resources. Spent nuclear fuel is the most highly radioactive waste. It decays rapidly at first, i.e. after 40 years the level of radioactivity has typically dropped to 1/1000th of the initial value. But it takes around 1000 years to drop to the level of the original uranium ore which was needed to produce that quantity of spent fuel (WNA, 2003). The potential impact of nuclear waste on humans and the environment depends on the level of radioactivity and on the conditions under which the waste is managed. On the other hand, nuclear power produces low emissions of carbon dioxide and lower emissions of acidic gases compared to fossil fuel-based electricity generation (taking into account the entire life-cycle).

The quantity of spent nuclear fuel provides a 'reliable representation of the production of radioactive waste situation and its evolution over time' (OECD, 1993). Data on quantities of all waste produced, by activity category and level of radiation, are difficult to find and often include inconsistent definitions of activity categories, as they relate to a mixture of nuclear wastes generated from all sources (i.e. military, medical, industrial isotope and research activities as well as nuclear power production). Spent fuel is an important waste stream due to its high level of radioactivity while at the same time it is precisely defined, unambiguous and relates purely to electricity generation. The quantity of spent fuel generated has therefore been chosen as a proxy to the nuclear waste indicator, despite limited available data on quantity of spent fuel for some Member States.

2.2 Policy context

The 1957 Treaty establishing the European Atomic Energy Community (EURATOM Treaty) is one of the founding treaties of the European Union. It addresses issues in the field of nuclear power such as radiation protection of the work force and the public, the supply of nuclear fissile materials for the developing nuclear power sector, the safeguarding of nuclear fissile materials to prevent them from being used for unauthorised military purposes, and general aspects such as research and dissemination of information. However, the treaty makes little or no specific mention of aspects such as operational safety of nuclear power plants and radioactive waste storage or disposal facilities. As a result, these aspects have become the responsibility of National Authorities in the individual Member States.

The European Commission's work and strategy for radioactive waste management and decommissioning of nuclear facilities is further set out in the following documents oriented towards safety and environmental protection concerns, with particular regard for the safe management of long-lived radioactive waste or final disposal of radioactive waste. These include the Community Plan of Action in the field of Radioactive Waste, renewed for the period 1993–99 by a Council Resolution in June 1992 (92/C158/02), and COM (2002) 605 final, on nuclear safety in the European Union, which proposes that Member States commit themselves to authorise deep disposal sites for highly radioactive waste by 2008 and to bring such sites into operation by 2018. Furthermore, the European Commission suggested more support for research and development on nuclear waste management in its proposal for a sustainable development strategy (EC, 2001) and proposed a directive on the management of nuclear waste (EC, 2004c; EC, 2002e).

On an international level, the International Atomic Energy Authority (IAEA) Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the safety of Radioactive Waste Management sets out measures to ensure the safe management of radioactive waste and contains requirements regarding: general safety; location of facilities; design and construction of facilities; safety assessment; environmental assessment; operational control; regulatory bodies and licensing; decommissioning; and trans-boundary movement.

3. References

Community Plan of Action in the field of Radioactive Waste, renewed for the period 1993–99 by a Council Resolution in June 1992 (92/C158/02).

COM(2002) 605 final, on nuclear safety in the European Union, proposes that Member States commit themselves to authorise deep disposal sites for highly radioactive waste by 2008 and to bring such sites into operation by 2018.

OECD Environmental Data, Compendium 2002. OECD, Paris 2003.

OECD (2003) Nuclear Energy Data, Nuclear Energy Agency.

European Commission (2001), A sustainable Europe for a better world: A European Union strategy for sustainable development. COM(2001) 264 final, Communication from the Commission to the Council and the European Parliament, European Commission, 2001.

European Commission (2002), Nuclear safety in the European Union, COM(2002) 605 final, Communication from the Commission to the

Council and the European Parliament.

European Commission (2003) European energy and transport, Trends to 2030, Directorate General for Transport and Energy.

European Commission (2004) Amended proposal for a Council Directive (Euratom) on the safe management of the spent nuclear fuel and radioactive waste. COM (2004) 526 final.

European Commission (2004) European energy and transport – scenarios on key drivers, Directorate General for Transport and Energy. EEA (2005) Climate change and a low-carbon European energy system, European Environment Agency report No 1/2005.

World Nuclear Association: World Nuclear Power Reactors 2002-04 and Uranium Requirements, 2 February 2004: http://www.world-nuclear.org/info/reactors.htm.

Meta data

Technical information

1. Data source:

Spent fuel – OECD Nuclear Energy Agency (Historical and projected data) <u>http://www.nea.fr/</u> Production of electricity from nuclear - Eurostat (historical data) <u>http://europa.eu.int/comm/eurostat/</u> Projection data: European Environment Agency (2005) – baseline projections are consistent with European Commission (2004)

2. Description of data/Indicator definition:

The indicator measures spent nuclear fuel arising from nuclear electricity production in the Member States that had nuclear powered electricity production capacity between 1990 and 2002 (data for Slovenia and Lithuania missing). It provides an indication of the situation of radioactive waste accumulation and storage. As data is not available for all countries an indicator of the production of electricity from nuclear power has also been shown as it provides a broad proxy indication of the rate of accumulation of radioactive waste, which is (very approximately) building up in proportion to power production.

Original measurement units:

Spent fuel: tonnes of heavy metal (tHM) Nuclear electricity generation: terawatt hours (TWh)

3. Geographical coverage:

Data on the annual production of radioactive waste (in tonnes of heavy metal) is only available for EU countries that are members of the OCED: Belgium, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, the Netherlands, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom.

- Temporal coverage: 1990-2002, projections to 2020.
- Methodology and frequency of data collection: Data collected annually.
- Methodology of data manipulation: Average annual rate of growth calculated using: [(last year / base year) ^ (1 / number of years) –1]*100

Qualitative information

7. Strengths and weaknesses (at data level)

Time series data on spent fuel arisings is limited and information is only available for the Czech Republic (since 1995), Hungary (since 1995) and the Slovakia (since 1999). No data is available for the two remaining EU countries, Slovenia and Lithuania, that also have nuclear electricity production.

For the production of electricity, data have traditionally been compiled by Eurostat through the annual Joint Questionnaires (although there is no separate questionnaire for nuclear energy), shared by Eurostat and the International Energy Agency, following a wellestablished and harmonised methodology. The primary energy from nuclear is calculated based on the electricity generation from

European Environment Agency



nuclear with a 33.3 % efficiency rate. Methodological information on the annual Joint Questionnaires and data compilation can be found on Eurostat's website in the section on metadata on energy statistics. <u>http://europa.eu.int/estatref/info/sdds/en/sirene/energy_base.htm</u>

8. Reliability, accuracy, robustness, uncertainty (at data level):

Indicator uncertainty (historical data):

Data on spent fuel arisings have been compiled by the OECD using data from member Governments. This is a consistent ongoing process that is updated annually. However, no data is available for Slovenia or Lithunia which decreases the overall accuracy of the indicator.

The use of spent fuel arisings as a proxy for overall radioactive waste is itself slightly uncertain because of the various inconsistencies in classification of radioactive waste between Member States, although it does provide a 'reliable representation of the production of radioactive waste situation and its evolution over time' (OECD, 1993).

Indicator uncertainty (scenarios):

National spent fuel projections have been taken from OECD. This projected data is based upon national projections with the exception of: France for 2020; the Netherlands for all projected years; and Sweden for 2020 – which are all based upon the OECD's own estimates. No projections are available for Germany after 2010. The mid-point has been taken for any projections where a range has been provided. The methodologies used for these projections are based upon national governments expectations of further nuclear production from existing plants as well as those either in construction, or planned.

9. Overall scoring – (1 = no major problems, 3 = major reservations):

Relevance: 1 Accuracy: 2 Comparability over time: 2 Comparability over space: 2