$\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions performance of car manufacturers in 2010

## Executive summary

Data collected by the European Environment Agency (EEA) on $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions from passenger cars reveal that the majority of the larger car manufacturers are well on track to achieve the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions target for 2012 set by Regulation (EC) 443/2009 of the European Parliament and the Council setting emissions performance standards for new passenger cars as part of the Community's integrated approach to reduce the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions from light duty vehicles.

Additional efforts are needed to achieve the regulation's target for 2015 but manufacturers still
have four years to reduce $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions further and ensure compliance. From 2012, the regulation provides that manufacturers that are not compliant with the targets must pay an 'excess emissions premium'.

Using the Member State data verified by the EEA, this note provides an overview of the performance of cars manufacturers in meeting their $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions targets set by the regulation.

## 1 Calculating the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions performance of car manufacturers

To reduce $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions in the road transport sector, the European Parliament and the Council adopted Regulation (EC) No 443/2009 introducing mandatory $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions performance standards for new passenger cars.

The regulation sets a $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$-specific emissions ( ${ }^{1}$ ) target of $130 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ by 2015 , defined as the average value for the fleet of newly registered passenger cars in the EU. A long-term target of $95 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ is set for 2020.

The performance of manufacturers is evaluated on an annual basis by calculating the following three parameters:

1. average specific emissions of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$;
2. the specific emissions target;
3. the difference between the average specific emissions and the emissions target.

The data used for the calculations are collected by Member States each calendar year, based on Member State registrations of new passenger cars. Once transmitted to the Commission and the EEA, the data are communicated to manufacturers for verification. The Commission considers the manufacturers' corrections and confirms their average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions and specific emissions targets.

### 1.1 Average specific emissions

Average specific emissions of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ are calculated as a weighted average of the manufacturer's fleet. Several adjustments must also be considered (Table 1.1):

- phase-in;
- super-credits;
- E85 extra credits;
- eco-innovation.


## Phase-in

A phase-in schedule applies for calculating average specific emissions. During the period 2012-2014,
only a certain percentage ( $65 \%$ in 2012, $75 \%$ in 2013, 80 \% in 2014) of the best performing registered cars will be taken into account in determining the performance of manufacturers. From 2015 onwards, $100 \%$ of the new cars of each manufacturer will be taken into account.

## Super-credits

The regulation foresees the allocation of super-credits for new passenger cars with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions lower than $50 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$. These vehicles are given a higher weight in calculating $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$-specific emissions as they are considered equivalent to 3.5 cars in 2012 and 2013, 2.5 cars in 2014, 1.5 cars in 2015, 1 car from 2016 onwards.

## E85 extra credits

Additional reductions of average specific emissions are assigned for vehicles capable of running on a mixture of petrol with 85 \% ethanol ('E85'). Their $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions will be reduced by $5 \%$ until 2015 in recognition of their ability to reduce emissions when running on biofuels. This reduction can be applied only where at least $30 \%$ of the filling stations in the Member State in which the vehicle is registered provide this type of alternative fuel.

## Eco-innovation

Certain innovative technologies cannot demonstrate their $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$-reducing effects under the current type approval test procedure. The procedure is expected to be reviewed by 2014 and until then manufacturers can be granted a maximum of $7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ of emissions credits on average for their fleet for innovative technologies, based on independently verified data. Detailed rules on this procedure are set out in Commission Regulation (EU) No 725/2011 establishing a procedure for the approval and certification of innovative technologies for reducing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions from passenger cars pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 443/2009 of the European Parliament and the Council.
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### 1.2 Targets

Each manufacturer has an annual target, calculated on the basis of the mass of the registered cars. The following formula applies:

Specific emissions of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}=130+\mathrm{a} *\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}_{0}\right)$
where:

M is the mass of the vehicle in kilograms ( kg )
$M_{0} \quad$ is 1372.0 kg
a is 0.0457
This means that if the average mass of a manufacturer's cars in a given year is 1472 kg , the target for that manufacturer is $134.57 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$. If the average mass of the cars is 1272 kg , the target will be $125.43 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$.

This formula aims to guarantee undistorted competition between manufacturers while taking into account their differences. $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ in this formula will be updated by 2016, in order to reflect market developments.

Manufacturers have the right to create a pool with other manufacturers in order to be monitored as one entity for the purpose of achieving their targets. Manufacturers selling less than 10000 vehicles per year can apply for derogations to the Commission. Special derogations are foreseen also for manufacturers responsible for 10 000-300 000 new vehicle registrations. In this case a special target is established, corresponding to a $25 \%$ reduction compared to the average specific emissions in 2007.

Table 1.1 Summary of the parameters applying to the calculation of manufacturer performance from 2012 to 2016

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase-in | $65 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Super-credit for vehicle emitting less than $50 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 1 |
| Emissions reduction for E85 vehicles * | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

Note: $\quad$ * Applies only where at least $30 \%$ of the filling stations in the Member State in which the vehicle is registered provide this type of alternative fuel.

## 2 Manufacturer emissions in 2010

Table 2.1 presents data for manufacturers that have registered more than 100000 vehicles in 2010. These manufacturers sold around 12.4 million vehicles in the EU in 2010, equivalent to $94 \%$ of the new registrations.

The average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions of the major EU manufacturers is $138.6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$, which is $1.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ below the average EU level for all manufacturers of $140.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$.

Among the larger manufacturers, FIAT had the lowest average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions in $2010\left(125 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}\right)$. This low value results from a combination of a relatively
high share of light vehicles in its total fleet and a relatively high share of vehicles fuelled with liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) and compressed natural gas ( $14 \%$ of the total fleet). Compared to the previous year, FIAT reduced emissions by $5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$.

As in 2009, Toyota Motor Europe maintains the second lowest average emissions ( $129 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{km}$ ) due to the high penetration of hybrid vehicles. Toyota has the highest percentage of vehicles with emissions below $100 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ (11 \% of its fleet). In 2010 Toyota recorded an average emissions value $3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ lower than the preceding year's fleet.

Table 2.1 Main specific emissions statistics for the largest car manufacturers ( $>100 \mathbf{0 0 0}$ vehicle registrations per year)

| Manufacturer | Registrations 2010 | Average emissions 2010 ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ ) | Average car mass (kg) | Average emissions 2009 ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiat Group Automobiles Spa | 977789 | 125 | 1137 | 130 |
| Toyota Motor Europe | 565867 | 129 | 1336 | 132 |
| Automobiles Peugeot | 974758 | 131 | 1322 | 134 |
| Seat | 291330 | 131 | 1278 | 140 |
| Automobiles Citroen | 816418 | 131 | 1314 | 138 |
| Renault | 1125788 | 134 | 1307 | 138 |
| Hyundai | 326924 | 134 | 1300 | 138 |
| Ford-Werke GmbH | 1077900 | 137 | 1289 | 140 |
| Skoda | 423958 | 139 | 1311 | 148 |
| Opel | 935967 | 140 | 1383 | 148 |
| Volkswagen | 1470906 | 140 | 1388 | 151 |
| GM Daewoo Auto U Tech Comp | 147072 | 144 | 1255 | 146 |
| Kia | 253878 | 143 | 1399 | 146 |
| Honda Motor Co * | 102973 | 144 | 1344 | 147 |
| Dacia | 251990 | 145 | 1237 | 152 |
| Bayerische Motoren Werke AG | 640525 | 146 | 1534 | 151 ** |
| Nissan International SA | 390376 | 147 | 1348 | 154 |
| Mazda Motor Corporation | 170102 | 149 | 1340 | 149 |
| Audi AG | 591305 | 152 | 1599 | 160 |
| Volvo | 205859 | 156 | 1663 | 173 |
| Daimler AG | 647351 | $160$ | 1533 | 167 |

Note: * Honda Motor Co is a manufacturer included in the pool Honda. Data here are presented by manufacturer and not by pool.
** In 2009 BMW emissions included Bayerische Motoren Werke AG and BMW M BMGH.

Apart from Mazda Motor Corporation, all manufacturers decreased their average emissions level since 2009. Compared to 2009, the largest emissions reductions were achieved by Volvo ( $16.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ ) and Volkswagen ( $10.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ ).

Table 2.2 presents data by manufacturer pool. The difference between the average emissions of manufacturers participating in a pool is quite high. The smallest range is found in the Honda pool, where the performance of the individual manufacturers varies between $126 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ and $162 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}-\mathrm{a}$ difference of $36 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$. By contrast, the difference between the two manufacturers in the Daimler pools is $148 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$.

The average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions for small volume manufacturers responsible for less than 10000 vehicle registrations a year, were $222 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ in 2010. In total only 42000 vehicles were registered for this group of manufacturers in 2010. This corresponds to $0.3 \%$ of the total number of registrations. Among the 47 manufacturers in this group, 33 have average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions higher than $160 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$, representing
$66 \%$ of the 42000 vehicles. Three manufacturers produce electric vehicles only (Tesla, Micro-Vett and Think Global). They were responsible for almost 300 registrations in 2010.

The average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions for manufacturers registering more than 10000 but less than 100000 vehicles a year was $163 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO} / 2 \mathrm{~km}$. The lowest average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions of a manufacturer in this group (Table 2.3) were $104 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$, which is $21 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ lower than in the group of large manufacturers. The highest value in this group was $237 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$, which is $77 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ higher than in the group of large manufacturers.

Cars produced by Maruti Suzuki India Ltd have, overall, the lowest $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions level ( $104 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ ). The average mass of its fleet is the lowest among all the car manufacturers registering vehicles in Europe. Chevrolet Italia and GM Italia mainly produce LPG cars, a factor that is likely to contribute to the low emissions value of these two manufacturers.

Table 2.2 Main statistics for the manufacturers' official pools in 2010

| Pool | Manufacturers | Registrations | Average emissions ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ford-Werke GMBH | 1077900 | 136 |
|  | CNG-Technik | 583 | 226 |
| Ford |  | 1078483 | 137 |
|  | Daimler AG | 647351 | 160 |
|  | Mercedes-AMG GmbH | 1504 | 308 |
| Daimler |  | 648855 | 160 |
|  | Honda Automobile China Co | 20879 | 126 |
|  | Honda Automobile Thailand Co | 1444 | 143 |
|  | Honda Motor Co | 102973 | 144 |
|  | Honda of the UK Manufacturing | 47881 | 162 |
|  | Honda Turkiye AS | 1591 | 156 |
| Honda |  | 174768 | 147 |
|  | Mitsubishi Motors Corporation MMC | 74030 | 165 |
|  | Mitsubishi Motors Europe BV MME | 16555 | 127 |
| Mitsubishi |  | 90585 | 158 |

Table 2.3 Main statistics for manufacturers registering $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{0 0 0} \mathbf{- 1 0 0} \mathbf{0 0 0}$ vehicles per year

| Manufacturer | Registrations 2010 | Average emissions 2010 <br> ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ ) | Average mass (kg) | Average emissions 2009 <br> ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maruti Suzuki India Ltd | 19610 | 104 | 932 | 104 |
| Chevrolet Italia | 26367 | 113 | 1078 | 122 |
| GM Italia | 37671 | 124 | 1273 | * |
| Honda Automobile China Co | 20879 | 126 | 1133 | * |
| Mitsubishi Motors Europe BV MME | 16555 | 127 | 1039 | * |
| Magyar Suzuki Corporation Ltd | 87229 | 137 | 1178 | 138 |
| Suzuki Motor Corporation | 85274 | 144 | 1176 | 146 |
| Daihatsu Motor Co | 18990 | 145 | 1109 | 142 |
| BMW M GmbH | 77460 | 156 | 1653 | * |
| Honda of the UK Manufacturing | 47881 | 162 | 1446 | * |
| Mitsubishi Motors Corporation MMC | 74030 | 165 | 1560 | * |
| SAAB Automobile AB | 20031 | 175 | 1677 | 184 |
| Fuji Heavy Industries Ltd | 30747 | 179 | 1608 | 178 |
| Jaguar Cars Ltd | 26437 | 198 | 1902 | 196 |
| Chrysler Group LLC | 32778 | 213 | 1973 | 216 |
| Land Rover | 65954 | 230 | 2350 | 244 |
| Porsche | 34829 | 237 | 1855 | 256 |

Note: * Manufacturers not available in 2009 submission.

## 3 Distance to the 2012 target

The distance of manufacturers to their specific emissions targets is calculated by taking into account the adjustments (phase-in, super-credits, E85 reductions and eco-innovations). There are no binding targets for 2010 or 2011 but an indicative target is provided for these years, giving manufacturers an indication of the effort required to meet the binding target in 2012.

Based on their average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions in 2010 as confirmed by the Commission after taking into account errors notified by manufactures, 32 manufacturers, representing $80 \%$ of the registrations in the EU, already achieve their specific emissions targets for the year 2012.

Figure 3.1 presents the distance-to-target curve for the 21 largest manufacturers. In 2010, 15 of the 21 larger carmakers achieved the 2012 target set by the regulation.

The distance to the target varies between 'achieving the target' and up to having average emissions of $10 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ above the target.

The majority of the manufacturers above the limit value curves are very close to meeting their targets. Mazda and Nissan, for example, exceed their target by $3-5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$. The data presented in Figure 3.1 are set out in Annex 1.

Figure 3.1 Distance to 2012 target by individual manufacturers in 2010 (only manufacturers registering > 100000 vehicles in Europe)


Note: The size of the bubble is proportional to the number of vehicles registered in Europe.

The distance to target for pools of manufacturers is presented in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1 Distance to target for the pool

| Pool | Manufacturers | Average emissions ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ ) | Target | Distance to target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ford-Werke GmbH | 121 | 126 | - 5 |
|  | CNG-Technik | 225 | 135 | 90 |
| Ford |  | 121 | 126 | -5 |
|  | Daimler AG | 138 | 137 | 0.4 |
|  | Mercedes-AMG GmbH | 308 | 145 | 163 |
| Daimler |  | 138 | 137 | 0.5 |
|  | Honda Automobile China | 125 | 119 | 6 |
|  | Honda Automobile Thailand | 142 | 121 | 21 |
|  | Honda Motor Co | 125 | 129 | -4 |
|  | Honda of the UK Manufacturing | 146 | 133 | 13 |
|  | Honda Turkiye | 156 | 126 | 30 |
| Honda |  | 129 | 129 | -0.1 |
|  | Mitsubishi Motors Corporation | 145 | 139 | 6 |
|  | Mitsubishi Motors Europe | 120 | 115 | 5 |
| Mitsubishi |  | 137 | 134 | 3 |

## 4 Distance to the 2015 target

The distance of the largest manufacturers to their 2015 target is calculated based on their $2010 \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions levels and should therefore only be considered as indicative. The calculation does not take into account all potentially available flexibilities that may be used by manufactures to achieve that target, such as eco-innovation credits, new pool agreements or new derogations. The calculation includes $100 \%$ of the vehicle fleet. Manufacturers receive super-credits in the order of 1.5 cars for vehicles emitting less than $50 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ (Figure 4.1). Manufacturers have four more years to further reduce $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions and ensure compliance with their targets; if they continue to reduce emissions as in past years then they will meet their targets.

Already in 2010, Toyota Motor Europe is nearly compliant with its 2015 target. Although the
manufacturer's average specific emissions are above the limit curve, the distance to target is relatively small ( $<1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ ).

The manufacturers Peugeot and Citroen are close to reaching their targets. The remaining decrease of emissions needed to comply with the 2015 target is less than $5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$.

The manufacturers Volvo, Nissan, GM, Mazda and Dacia will have to reduce the average emissions of their fleets by more than $14 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ over the next five years.

The complete data presented in Figure 4.1 are set out in Annex 1.

Figure 4.1 Distance to 2015 target by individual manufacturers in 2010 (only manufacturers registering > $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{0 0 0}$ vehicles in Europe)


Note: The size of the bubble is proportional to the number of vehicles registered in Europe.

## 5 Excess emissions premiums

If a manufacturer's or pool's average specific $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions exceed the specific average target, Regulation 443/2009 requires the payment of an excess emissions premium. The excess emissions premium for failing to meet the specific $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions target is calculated by multiplying the following three elements:

- the distance to the emissions target in a given year (in $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ );
- the number of vehicles registered by the manufacturer during that year;
- the premium level as described in Table 5.1.

The premium amounts to EUR 5 for the first $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ of exceedance, EUR 15 for the second $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$, EUR 25 for the third $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$, and EUR 95 for each subsequent $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$. A higher distance to the target therefore implies a higher excess premium per $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ emitted (Table 5.1).

For example, if a manufacturer registers 100000 vehicles in the EU, the formula to be used for calculating the excess emissions premium varies depending on the distance to the target as follows:

- if the distance to the target is $0.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$, the first formula in Table 5.1 applies and the excess emissions premium $=0.5 * 5 * 100000=$ EUR 250 000;
- if the distance to the target is $1.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$, the second formula in Table 5.1 applies and the excess emissions premium $=(1 * 5+(1.5-1) * 15)$
* 100000 = EUR 1250 000;
- if the distance to the target is $2.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$, the third formula in Table 5.1 applies and the excess emissions premium $=(1 * 5+1 * 15+(2.5-2)$ * 25) * $100000=$ EUR 3250 000;
- if the distance to the target is $3.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$, the fourth formula in Table 5.1 applies and the excess emissions premium $=(1 * 5+1 * 15+1 *$ $25+(3.5-3) * 95) * 100000=$ EUR 9250000.

Table 5.1 Coefficients to be used in the formula for calculating excess emissions premium

| Excess emission ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ ) | Fine (euro) |  |  |  | Number of vehicles | Formula for calculating excess emissions premium (euro) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 15 | 25 | 95 |  |  |
| 0-1 | (EE) | - | - | - | NV | $((\mathrm{EE}) * 5) * \mathrm{NV}$ |
| 1-2 | 1 | (EE - 1) | - | - | NV | $(1 * 5+(E E-1) * 15) * N V$ |
| 2-3 | 1 | 1 | (EE-2) | - | NV | $(1 * 5+1 * 15+(\mathrm{EE}-2) * 25) * \mathrm{NV}$ |
| > 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | (EE-3) | NV | $(1 * 5+1 * 15+1 * 25+(\mathrm{EE}-3) * 95) * \mathrm{NV}$ |

Note: 'EE' is the distance to target or excess emission; 'NV' is the number of vehicles registered.

## Annex 1

Table A. 1 presents the data used in calculating the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions performance of car manufacturers in 2010 without taking into account the uncertainties notified by manufactures for that year (see notes below table). The number of registrations represents the number of vehicles having both a mass and an
emissions value. Average emissions and distance to target are calculated using the calculation rules for 2012 and 2015. The parameters used in calculating manufacturer performance for 2012 and 2015 are set out in Table 1.1.

Table A. 1 Data used in calculating the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions performance of car manufacturers in 2010

|  |  |  | Specific average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions using 2010 dataset ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ ) |  | Target 2012/2015 using 2010 dataset ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ ) | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Distance to } \\ 2010 \\ (\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO} \end{array}$ | get using aset <br> k) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Uncertainty } \\ & \text { adjustments } \\ & \text { for } 2010 \\ & \left(\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alpina |  | 173 | 187.795 | 210.341 | 147.429 | 40.366 | 62.912 |  |
| Artega |  | 2 | 220.000 | 220.000 | 132.194 | 87.806 | 87.806 |  |
| Aston Martin Lagonda Ltd | D | 1415 | 333.482 | 348.372 | 320.000 | 13.482 | 196.037 | 0.825 |
| Audi AG |  | 589855 | 133.883 | 151.823 | 140.365 | -6.482 | 11.458 | 0.075 |
| Automobiles Citroen |  | 815936 | 118.764 | 131.416 | 127.361 | -8.597 | 4.055 |  |
| Automobiles Peugeot |  | 974248 | 119.208 | 131.018 | 127.704 | -8.496 | 3.314 |  |
| Avtovaz |  | 3911 | 212.171 | 219.516 | 126.410 | 85.761 | 93.106 |  |
| Bayerische Motoren Werke AG |  | 640021 | 129.253 | 146.355 | 137.409 | - 8.156 | 8.946 | 0.054 |
| Bentley |  | 1187 | 391.423 | 395.939 | 181.363 | 210.060 | 214.576 |  |
| BMW M GmbH |  | 77120 | 133.513 | 156.242 | 142.836 | - 9.323 | 13.406 | 4.212 |
| Bugatti |  | 8 | 584.600 | 589.250 | 159.225 | 425.375 | 430.025 |  |
| Caterham | D | 135 | 166.920 | 180.237 | 210.000 | -43.080 | 80.392 |  |
| Chevrolet Italia |  | 25442 | 113.042 | 117.604 | 116.356 | - 3.314 | 1.248 | 0.045 |
| Chrysler Group LLC |  | 31121 | 192.081 | 215.249 | 157.480 | 34.601 | 57.769 |  |
| CNG-Technik | P1 | 583 | 225.000 | 226.252 | 134.782 | 90.218 | 91.470 | 0.265 |
| Dacia |  | 251938 | 133.865 | 144.989 | 123.831 | 10.034 | 21.158 | 0.403 |
| Daihatsu Motor Co |  | 18972 | 128.351 | 145.373 | 117.975 | 10.376 | 27.398 |  |
| Daimler AG | P2 | 646067 | 137.762 | 160.133 | 137.323 | 0.439 | 22.810 | 0.090 |
| DR Motor Company |  | 4943 | 122.413 | 138.566 | 120.642 | 1.771 | 17.924 |  |
| Ferrari | D | 2361 | 300.718 | 322.463 | 303.000 | - 2.282 | 175.137 |  |
| Fiat Group Automobiles Spa |  | 975822 | 115.285 | 125.003 | 119.240 | - 3.955 | 5.763 |  |
| Ford-Werke GmbH | P1 | 1076887 | 121.128 | 136.544 | 126.226 | - 5.098 | 10.318 | 0.507 |
| Fuji Heavy Industries Ltd | ND | 30655 | 165.182 | 179.310 | 164.616 | 0.566 | 38.523 | 0.046 |
| Geely |  | 918 | 115.916 | 131.480 | 140.077 | - 24.161 | -8.597 |  |
| General Motors Company |  | 1490 | 270.134 | 296.464 | 151.750 | 118.384 | 144.714 | 4.396 |
| GM Daewoo Auto U Tech Comp |  | 146117 | 125.759 | 143.502 | 124.606 | 1.153 | 18.896 | 0.015 |
| GM Italia |  | 37670 | 119.750 | 124.405 | 125.467 | - 5.717 | - 1.062 |  |
| Great Wall Motor | D | 344 | 222.000 | 224.314 | 195.000 | 27.000 | 69.292 |  |
| Gumpert |  | 2 | 310.000 | 310.000 | 132.879 | 177.121 | 177.121 |  |

Table A. 1 Data used in calculating the $\mathbf{C O}_{\mathbf{2}}$ emissions performance of car manufacturers in 2010 (cont.)

|  |  |  | Specific average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions using 2010 dataset ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ ) |  | Target $2012 / 2015$ using 2010 dataset $\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}\right)$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Distance to } \\ 2010 \\ (\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO} \end{array}$ | rget using taset <br> km) | Uncertainty adjustments for 2010 ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Honda Automobile China Co | P3 | 20876 | 125.023 | 126.094 | 119.099 | 5.924 | 6.995 | 0.013 |
| Honda Automobile Thailand Co | P3 | 1444 | 142.000 | 142.615 | 120.816 | 21.184 | 21.799 |  |
| Honda Motor Co | P3 | 102890 | 124.841 | 143.827 | 128.710 | - 3.869 | 15.117 | 0.214 |
| Honda of the UK Manufacturing | P3 | 47840 | 145.932 | 162.280 | 133.391 | 12.541 | 28.889 | 0.307 |
| Honda Turkiye AS | P3 | 1587 | 155.953 | 156.624 | 125.560 | 30.393 | 31.064 |  |
| Hyundai |  | 325603 | 120.858 | 134.218 | 126.725 | - 5.867 | 7.493 |  |
| Iveco Spa |  | 49 | 213.548 | 216.694 | 180.265 | 33.283 | 36.429 |  |
| Jaguar Cars Ltd | D | 23740 | 178.656 | 196.808 | 178.025 | 0.631 | 42.663 |  |
| Kia |  | 253706 | 126.251 | 143.269 | 131.248 | -4.997 | 12.021 |  |
| KTM | D | 57 | 173.432 | 179.000 | 200.000 | - 26.568 | 71.352 |  |
| Lamborghini |  | 265 | 323.977 | 358.834 | 141.293 | 182.684 | 217.541 | 0.178 |
| Land Rover | D | 65534 | 209.295 | 231.476 | 178.025 | 31.270 | 56.716 |  |
| Lotus Group PLC | D | 825 | 189.108 | 196.582 | 280.000 | -90.892 | 76.307 |  |
| LTI Carbodies |  | 1662 | 225.087 | 227.858 | 154.227 | 70.860 | 73.631 |  |
| Magyar Suzuki Corporation Ltd |  | 87204 | 130.004 | 136.665 | 121.130 | 8.874 | 15.535 | 0.031 |
| Mahindra |  | 48 | 246.839 | 251.500 | 160.042 | 86.797 | 91.458 |  |
| Maruti Suzuki India Ltd |  | 19577 | 103.000 | 104.287 | 109.908 | -6.908 | - 5.621 |  |
| Maserati Spa |  | 1626 | 353.473 | 362.557 | 159.119 | 194.354 | 203.438 |  |
| Mazda Motor Corporation |  | 170007 | 133.729 | 149.457 | 128.523 | 5.206 | 20.934 | 0.375 |
| Mercedes-AMG GmbH | P2 | 1503 | 308.000 | 308.000 | 144.857 | 163.143 | 163.143 | 0.005 |
| MG | D | 264 | 184.871 | 184.917 | 184.000 | 0.871 | 63.684 |  |
| Micro-Vett |  | 4 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 133.507 | - 133.507 | - 133.507 |  |
| Mitsubishi Motors Corporation MMC | P4 | 72594 | 145.036 | 164.746 | 138.601 | 6.435 | 26.145 | 0.058 |
| Mitsubishi Motors Europe BV MME | P4 | 16530 | 119.878 | 127.284 | 114.793 | 5.085 | 12.491 | 0.001 |
| Morgan | D | 415 | 164.342 | 189.607 | 180.000 | - 15.658 | 71.413 |  |
| Nissan International SA |  | 389818 | 132.131 | 147.186 | 128.875 | 3.256 | 18.311 |  |
| OMCI |  | 46 | 156.862 | 167.848 | 120.759 | 36.103 | 47.089 |  |
| Opel |  | 935499 | 126.920 | 139.528 | 130.483 | - 3.563 | 9.045 | 0.204 |
| OSV |  | 67 | 135.512 | 136.836 | 140.208 | - 4.696 | - 3.372 |  |
| Perodua |  | 690 | 136.480 | 140.239 | 113.634 | 22.846 | 26.605 |  |
| PGO |  | 29 | 185.000 | 189.828 | 115.657 | 69.343 | 74.171 |  |
| Porsche |  | 34512 | 220.872 | 238.843 | 152.089 | 68.783 | 86.754 |  |
| Potenza Sports Cars |  | 31 | 178.000 | 178.000 | 99.975 | 78.025 | 78.025 |  |
| Proton | D | 792 | 143.315 | 153.553 | 185.000 | -41.685 | 22.507 |  |
| Quattro |  | 2596 | 279.097 | 299.034 | 154.102 | 124.995 | 144.932 | 0.229 |
| Renault |  | 1125141 | 120.700 | 133.821 | 127.045 | -6.345 | 6.776 | 0.033 |
| Rolls-Royce Motor Cars Ltd |  | 413 | 315.616 | 332.063 | 181.297 | 134.319 | 150.766 | 1.281 |
| SAAB Automobile AB |  | 19979 | 156.561 | 174.954 | 143.922 | 12.639 | 31.032 |  |
| Santana |  | 382 | 168.351 | 204.921 | 135.765 | 32.586 | 69.156 |  |
| SEAT |  | 288629 | 120.162 | 131.087 | 125.722 | - 5.560 | 5.365 | 0.087 |
| SECMA |  | 26 | 155.000 | 155.000 | 97.370 | 57.630 | 57.630 |  |

Table A. 1 Data used in calculating the $\mathbf{C O}_{\mathbf{2}}$ emissions performance of car manufacturers in 2010 (cont.)

|  |  |  | Specific average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions using 2010 dataset ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ ) |  | Target 2012/2015 using 2010 dataset ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ ) | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Distance to } \\ 2010 \\ (\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | rget using taset km) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Uncertainty } \\ & \text { adjustments } \\ & \text { for } 2010 \\ & \left(\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shuanghuan |  | 44 | 266.357 | 267.682 | 152.951 | 113.406 | 114.731 |  |
| Skoda |  | 420718 | 127.869 | 139.167 | 127.225 | 0.644 | 11.942 | 0.073 |
| Sovab |  | 94 | 227.066 | 230.138 | 166.119 | 60.947 | 64.019 |  |
| Ssangyong | D | 4785 | 203.851 | 215.729 | 180.000 | 23.851 | 55.974 |  |
| Suzuki Motor Corporation |  | 85177 | 124.055 | 144.108 | 121.050 | 3.005 | 23.058 | 0.024 |
| Tata | D | 3582 | 137.754 | 151.669 | 178.025 | -40.271 | 25.279 |  |
| Tesla |  | 40 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 128.309 | - 128.309 | - 128.309 |  |
| Think Global |  | 144 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 120.248 | - 120.248 | - 120.248 |  |
| Toyota Motor Europe |  | 564633 | 112.241 | 129.050 | 128.349 | - 16.108 | 0.701 | 0.165 |
| Volkswagen |  | 1469419 | 125.987 | 140.343 | 130.715 | -4.728 | 9.628 | 0.035 |
| Volvo |  | 204926 | 134.492 | 156.946 | 143.273 | - 8.781 | 13.673 |  |
| Westfield |  | 3 | 178.000 | 178.000 | 99.975 | 78.025 | 78.025 |  |
| Wiesmann | D | 8 | 253.000 | 257.250 | 274.000 | - 21.000 | 125.519 |  |

Notes: In confirming the $2010 \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions, the Commission has taken into account errors notified by manufacturers and where relevant assigned an uncertainty adjustment, which modifies the distance to their targets (see Commission Decision (EU) No .../2011). In most cases the calculated uncertainty is below $1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$. Because the uncertainty of the dataset in 2012 and 2015 is not yet known, the uncertainty adjustment was not used in calculation of distance to target the table above. The uncertainty is tied to data quality issues and therefore cannot automatically be transferred between years. For information the 2010 uncertainty adjustments are shown in the table.
' $D$ ' indicates that a derogation for small-volume manufacturers has been granted in accordance with the Commission Implementing Decision C(2011)8334 final.
'ND' indicates that a derogation for niche manufacturers has been granted in accordance with Commission Implementing Decision C(2011)8336 final.
'P' indicates that the manufacturer is member of a pool in accordance with Article 7 of Regulation (EC) No 443/2009.


[^0]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ In this context 'specific emissions' implies 'emissions per vehicle kilometer'.

